GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

+ + + + +

ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY STANDARDS

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
JANUARY 13, 2010

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia State Board of Education convened at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, at 6:00 p.m., Ted Trabue, Vice-President, presiding.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

TED TRABUE, Vice-President
DOROTHY DOUGLAS, Member
MARK JONES, Member
WILLIAM LOCKRIDGE, Member
DOTTI LOVE WADE, Member
MARY LORD, Member
LAURA SLOVER, Member
SEKOU BIDDLE, Member

OFFICE OF THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION:

KERRI BRIGGS, State Superintendent BEVERLY WHEELER, Executive Director

STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE:

KEVIN A. JACKSON, JR.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	Call to Order	3
II.	Opening Comments from the Vice President of the State Board, Ted Trabue	e 3
III.	Comments from the Office of the State Superintendent of Education, Dr. Kerri Briggs	ne 4
IV.	Public Comment Period	
	A. Panel One i. Deborah Maatta ii. Veronica Rivera iii. Jennifer King iv. Q&A Session	8 13 18 20
	B. Panel Two i. Michael Sindram ii. Bonnie Moisan iii. Hiam Muhawi iv. Q/A Session	43 46 51 57
	C. Panel Three i. Micki Suchenski ii. Karen Wesley iii. Marvin Tucker iv. Q/A Session	90 94 97 102
V.	Closing Comments	141
VI.	Adjournment	166

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(6:05 p.m.)

evening.

to order.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Good

Please allow me to call this meeting

On behalf of the members of the District of Columbia State Board of Education, I want to welcome you, our guests, and the viewing public, and my colleagues, to our Wednesday, January 13, 2010, State Board of Education public hearing.

The State Board of Education holds its regular meetings on the third Wednesday of every month, but we are holding a special meeting tonight for the purpose of holding a hearing on English language proficiency standards.

We believe that community input on all issues affecting education is critical if we are to improve educational outcomes here in the District of Columbia. The members of the State Board of Education and our State

Superintendent, Dr. Kerri Briggs, welcome your participation and your support in our efforts to improve educational outcomes here in the District of Columbia.

I would like to acknowledge the presence of several of my colleagues. From Ward 1, we have Dotti Love Wade; Ward 2, we have Mary Lord; Laura Slover from Ward 3 is on the way; Sekou Biddle from Ward 4 is here; and Mark Jones from Ward 5.

Our President, Lisa Raymond, unfortunately is on travel tonight and could not be here with us.

And Kevin Jackson, of course, our student representative, is here with us as well. And he has been here throughout his tenure as a student board member, and we sincerely appreciate his presence.

We will now go to the second item on our agenda, third item actually, which is comments from our Office of the State Superintendent, Dr. Kerri Briggs.

NEAL R. GROSS

SUPERINTENDENT BRIGGS: Thank you, Mr. Trabue.

So just some quick opening remarks about the work we're doing here tonight. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act requires that each state develop English language proficiency standards in the domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing, that are aligned with the state's academic content standards.

Ιn order to these meet students, requirements, and serve all District of Columbia joined the World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment consortium, commonly referred to as WIDA, in 2003.

Per the Public Education Reform
Amendment Act of 2007, the State Board of
Education has approval authority over state
academic standards. These English language
proficiency standards are the last of the
initial standards being approved by the State

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Board.

Last month you received a formal presentation from Assistant Superintendent of Elementary and Secondary Education, Cathie Carothers, along with Bethany Nickerson, our State Director for English Language Learners.

You also heard from Dr. Tim Boals, who is Executive Director of the WIDA consortia, who presented an overview of the standards and the services that the District receives as being a part of the consortium.

Tonight will serve as our opportunity to hear from the public on these standards, and, we hope, for your final vote from the State Board during your regularly scheduled meeting in February.

The WIDA consortia is a non-profit cooperative comprised of the District of Columbia and 21 other states working together to meet the federal requirements of ESEA for English language learners, with innovative standards and assessments, serving

approximately 840,000 students nationwide.

The WIDA standards correspond to the second language acquisition process and represent the language ELL students need to be successful in academic content areas of language arts, math, science, and social studies. The standards measure progress of students, map what children are expected to know and be able to do, and also encourage the teaching of advanced skills.

I look forward to hearing more tonight from those who came to testify, and thanks for your -- thanks for being here.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much, Dr. Briggs.

We have nine witnesses who have called in and who are scheduled to testify this evening. And what we will do is bring the witnesses up in panels of three. Each witness will be given three minutes to make their presentation, and then, after that, there will be a question and answer session

NEAL R. GROSS

1	from members of the State Board.
2	There is a clock over there. When
3	you are seated at the table, the clock is over
4	to your left, so please be mindful of your
5	time. And at this point, we will call the
6	first panel, which consists of Deborah Maatta
7	from the Office of Bilingual Education,
8	Veronica Rivera, Mexican American Legal
9	Defense Education Fund, and Jennifer King from
10	the Cesar Chavez Public Charter School for
11	Public Policy.
12	And pardon me if I mispronounced
13	any of your names. Please identify yourself
14	for the record.
15	MS. MAATTA: I am Deborah Maatta.
16	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Maatta.
17	Thank you.
18	MS. RIVERA: Veronica Rivera.
19	MS. KING: Jennifer King.
20	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
21	very much. Ms. Maatta, you may begin.
22	MS. MAATTA: Okay. Thank you.

Good evening. My name is Deborah Maatta, and I am an ESL teacher and teacher trainer with D.C. Public Schools. I have been using the WIDA standards since I began working as an ESL teacher in 2004. I also worked as an ESL teacher from 1996 to 1999 when DCPS did not have English language proficiency standards in place.

When I taught content-based ESL in the late 1990s, I based my lessons on the DCPS standards and designed content language activities to support that content acquisition. But I must confess, my approach language development activities to was at times very random, as we did not have a set of standards to guide us or a set curriculum.

When I returned to ESL teaching in 2004, I found the WIDA standards to be an extremely important tool, which clearly outlined the language skills in reading, writing, listening, and speaking, that ESL teachers should address.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In addition, teachers have better information about the language abilities of our students because of the data provided from the ACCESS for ELLs proficiency provided information about listening, speaking, reading, and writing, as well as acquisition of content area language.

I feel I am a more effective ESL teacher now, since I know where my students are in terms of language proficiency in each domain, and I have a very clear guide as to how students must progress to become English proficient.

Without the standards, English as a second language teaching would return to the way it was in the 1990s, based on individual teachers' views of language development and progress, rather than on a fully researched and highly refined document such as the WIDA English language proficiency standards.

In addition, I find the WIDA standards to be extremely important for DCPS

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

teachers as we implement the DCPS teaching and learning framework. I think the District and DCPS teachers are now more focused than ever on working towards attainment of the content standards. The WTDA standards area written in a way that teachers can align the language proficiency standards with content standards. And that way all teachers are ultimately working toward the same goals.

The general education teachers focus on the content standards. ESL teachers focus on the language of the DCPS content standards, thus providing an important integration of English language development and content area acquisition.

While students focus on acquiring English in an English as a second language class, the language development activities will also support the acquisition of content area knowledge, because the WIDA standards can be aligned to the DCPS content standards.

As a trainer, I teach courses in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

strategies for working with English language learners. Just last week I introduced the standards to a group of teachers, mainly from Brightwood Elementary School. Please listen to some of the comments I received from the teachers I am working with.

"I found the WIDA proficiency standards to be very helpful and clear. As a teacher, you aim to reach all students, and just knowing how ELLs are actually assessed helps me to know how to approach students at their levels and how to check for growth."

Another teacher said, "The WIDA information now is more comprehensible, since it is broken down in more specific objectives that can help to guide instruction, as well as giving the classroom teacher a tool to understand the language levels better. thought it was very important to see component, since speaking the regular standards are so broad."

Another teacher said, "I have also

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

never seen language standards before, so these will definitely help my everyday planning, as well as in developing IEP goals based on their second language levels. I hope to have more appropriate goals and objectives that are realistic for my population."

And another teacher said --

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I have to ask you to wrap up.

MS. MAATTA: Okay.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: You're about a minute over.

MS. MAATTA: As you can see, WIDA standards are very useful for teacher working with ELLs general teachers, special ed teachers, and English as a second language teachers. To me, the most important things the standards provide is a guide for developing language proficiency that integrate allows teachers to the DCPS standards so that all instruction for ELLs is presented in a cohesive, meaningful way.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much.

Ms. Rivera?

MS. RIVERA: Good evening, members of the Board. On behalf of the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, MALDEF, we commend the District of Columbia State Board of Education for pursuing the adoption of English language proficiency standards.

My testimony today will focus upon the importance of having standards for English language learners, ELLs, and recommendations pertaining to the standards the Board is considering adopting. MALDEF is particularly concerned with the academic outcomes of the nation's 5.5 million ELL students, because nearly 80 percent of K through 12 ELLs are Spanish-speaking Latinos.

ELLs constitute the fastest-growing subgroup of students in the U.S. public schools, with an annual increase of

about 10 percent, and a 72 percent overall increase between 1992 and 2002. Experts predict that one-quarter of the nation's K through 12 student population will be made up of ELLs by 2025.

ELLs be subject to high must academic educational expectations and high achievement standards. Therefore, with regard standards the State Board to the is considering adopting, we support the goal of ensuring that ELLs meet language proficiency four in all language domains speaking, listening, reading, and writing.

We also believe that students should be assessed annually in the areas of reading and writing, given that these areas lay the academic groundwork for students in other subject areas. In addition, we support an assessment that requires ELL students to have transitioned into classrooms not tailored to limited English proficient children and have a sufficient level of English proficiency

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to permit them to succeed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

For assessment-based accountability systems to be effective, the assessments used for ELL students must be valid and reliable for the student population. Therefore, recommend that the Board we consider adopting native language content assessments in the top five languages spoken in the District of Columbia schools as part of the standards.

Native language content assessments are generally more likely than other assessment instruments to generate meaningful academic achievement data for the ELL student population. Research clearly shows that native language instruction and linguistic accommodations are necessary ensure academic success for ELL students as they transition to English language academic instruction.

We urge the Board to develop or make available to ELL students native language

or dual language assessments that are valid, reliable, and aligned to grade level content and student academic achievement standards, and assess such students using such assessments, if such assessments validly and reliably measure the content and instructions such students received.

With limited opportunities learn English, ELL students face particularly poor outcomes, failing graduation tests, and dropping out of high schools at far higher than classmates who fluent rates are English. It is critical that we improve instruction for these students to help them learn English, not penalize them for poor quality of instruction that denies them the opportunity to learn the language well.

Therefore, we recommend that the Board invest professional development of its teachers and school officials who work directly with ELLs, including professional development for content area teachers.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Educational concerns of ELLs are now on the
2	forefront of debating debates being held by
3	school boards, state legislatures, and
4	Congress.
5	And although we haven't yet
6	reached a place where ELLs are performing on
7	levels equal to their peers, we have changed
8	the debate and generated public will to
9	address the needs of ELLs.
10	We, again, commend the Board for
11	moving forward and adopting English language
12	proficiency standards, and we stand ready to
13	work with you to implement, evaluate, and
14	improve the standards once adopted.
15	Thank you.
16	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you,
17	Ms. Rivera.
18	MS. RIVERA: Oh. And if I just
19	may add, I do have written testimony that has
20	a whole lot more information.
21	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: We would
22	sincerely appreciate that. If you could pass

it to the young lady over on your left, she will collect it and make sure that we all receive a copy of it. Thank you.

Ms. King?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. KING: Good evening, members of the Board. I am Jennifer King, the ELL Coordinator and educator at Cesar Chavez Public Charter School for Public Policy, Parkside Campus.

The WIDA English language proficiency standards in are instrumental paving the way to higher learning for our English language learners. They are designed in a format that promotes a future-oriented pedagogy, meaning that it is one that looks at the immediate potential of English our language learners, yet lends itself to setting higher goals for both language and content development.

The WIDA English language proficiency standards are set up in such a way that embraces this philosophy of a future-

oriented pedagogy. Designed for learning to amplified rather than simplified, ELLsupport staff builds a partnership with core educators to help our English language achieve learners the general education standards and beyond. Educators can maintain high standards while adding the language function and support to the curriculum objectives necessary for academic achievement.

Ι will present you with example. For a grade level cluster 9 through 12, a Level 3 ELL with a Level 4 goal in the speaking domain, the model performance indicator "Discuss, with examples, states, character development using visuals or graphic organizers transformed using a curriculum Discuss, with examples, human objective. trafficking using visuals and notes various sources."

Members of the Board, thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of the WIDA English language proficiency

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	standards standards that help educators
2	amplify, not simplify.
3	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
4	very, very much. Before we move on to the
5	round of questioning, I also want to
6	acknowledge that we have been joined by our
7	member from Ward 7, Dorothy Douglas.
8	MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you.
9	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Good
10	evening, Ms. Douglas.
11	MEMBER DOUGLAS: Good evening.
12	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Let me
13	start the round of questioning. We will give
14	each one of the members three minutes for that
15	that means three minutes for the question
16	and the response in totality.
17	I want to thank all of you very
18	much for some very, very interesting
19	testimony. And I will have Dotti Love Wade
20	with the first round of questioning. Thanks.
21	MEMBER WADE: Good evening. Thank
22	you so very much for coming down and sharing

with us.

My concern is whether or not your ELL classes are inclusionary, or are they pull-out for the students? And what I mean by "pull-out."

For think you know what I mean by "pull-out."

For those who may not, some ELL classes are taught in the classroom general, and other ELL classes are taught with the children being pulled out of the general class and going into a special class for ELL education. And I also would like to know if you have a preference and why.

MS. RIVERA: We are closely following that. And, again, this is Veronica Rivera with MALDEF. And we definitely support integration and not segregation, and that is what happens sometimes with our students. Again, in my written testimony I provide additional information.

What we are finding is that students in ELL programs are actually more likely to be segregated than integrated, and

so that is something that you on the Board, and D.C. as a whole, needs to make sure that does not take place.

There are times where you will need to, as you call it, pull them out, but they should still be able to circulate to the different classes and be provided instruction.

And the preference, again, is to integrate rather than segregate.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.

Hello. I'm Deborah MS. MAATTA: Maatta with D.C. Public Schools. D.C. Public Schools uses both models. It depends on the resources available at individual schools. And often, or usually, that choice is principal's decision. Many DCPS schools use inclusion model. t.he Ιt is especially effective when there can be one ESL teacher teamed with one or two gen ed teachers, to provide that support throughout the school day for the ELLs in those classes.

Sometimes it is not possible to

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

use that model, just because there aren't enough ESL teachers. And there may be 20 students spread out among -- you know, with 15 different teachers, so you wouldn't really be able to use an inclusion model with 15 teachers to coordinate with.

So it often does depend on the resources at the school level, whether the pull-out model is chosen or the inclusion model. But we definitely fully support the inclusion model and support schools in using that model here with D.C. Public Schools.

MS. KING: Hi. I'm Jennifer King,
Cesar Chavez Public Charter School. We do
have a content-based ESL program where we work
with our ELLs through the content areas. It
is an inclusion model. However, there are
times where you want to give them the extra
support to read, to write. You want to give
them that extra time where they can -- you can
really work on their skills. And so there are
times where we will pull out in small group,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	so that when we go back to the larger group
2	they feel more confident.
3	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
4	very much.
5	MEMBER WADE: Can I ask
6	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: On the
7	second round, sure.
8	MEMBER WADE: Okay. Thank you.
9	Thank you so much.
10	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Ms. Lord?
11	MEMBER LORD: Thank you. Thank
12	you for this very impressive testimony.
13	I would like to actually start
14	with you, Ms. King. You have brought a show-
15	and-tell, and you mentioned that it was for
16	the 9th through 12th grade
17	MS. KING: Yes.
18	MEMBER LORD: group, which I
19	think traditionally has been among the hardest
20	of students to reach. If you are young, you
21	seem to pick up languages a lot earlier, and I
22	wonder if you could just sort of go through

sort of what the WIDA structure or the structures that you use encompass.

And then, if we have time, I would like to return to the whole panel and talk about sort of what we would see in a classroom that would look different. I mean, how does content and English language learning marry each other in the new structures? Thanks.

MS. KING: With the WIDA program, you start off with Level 1, and Level 5 is our English proficient level. It is set up so can use the curriculum, the teachers curriculum, but they can match the language function needed for the certain level that the child is, and the supports, SO whatever curriculum piece I am teaching, or the content area a teacher is teaching, I will partner up with that teacher to decide what supports, how do we get them there, how can we achieve that.

So, again, with the futureoriented pedagogy, we can't look at our high school students where they are today. We have

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to look at their immediate potential and know 1 2 where they can go. 3 MEMBER LORD: How would that work, 4 for example, with your example on human 5 trafficking as a content area? 6 MS. KING: This was an English 7 class project. It was a three-page writtenout take-home assignment. And so what I did 8 is I scaffolded that project, and what the 9 10 support was was taking all of the questions, 11 putting them on index cards, sitting down at the computers with them, so that they could 12 13 complete this research project, and they could attain the same level as their mainstream 14 15 peers. 16 MEMBER LORD: So, in other words, the questions might have been at a simpler 17 English language level, although the content 18 19 is sort of equivalent to what the regular 20 classroom kids were getting? 21 KING: Actually, I didn't MS. change the questions. I just cut them out and 22

put them on index cards. So we were not looking at wordy documents, so it was actually the same document, but it was just broken down into a smaller package. It was more through the presentation of the project.

MEMBER LORD: And to the whole panel, I think many of us still think of English language learning as the -- you know, you're in a separate class, you're learning the English first and not the content, how does it -- how would today's classroom or techniques look different?

MS. MAATTA: I think it's going to -- I'm going to relate to what Jennifer had said. We try to use that same technique where there is -- the whole class might be studying a story. If that study is written at a level that our ESL students may not be able to understand it, the teacher may rewrite the story and, as you had said, using simpler language where the storyline is still the same.

NEAL R. GROSS

students may be reading the regular text, the lowest level -- and we wouldn't use the same story for all ESL students. We would take the take the Level 1s and perhaps Level 2s. They may read the easier story, or they may use a graphic organizer that was based on that story, so that they can get that same content but with much less language that they have to decode and understand.

Your higher level ELLs would still read the same story, but with support from the teacher, maybe with more vocabulary introduced first, maybe a graphic organizer to help. So you are trying to have everyone studying the same thing, but different students having different supports.

And then, the same thing -- we also use the WIDA standards to help us to know where -- what our children are going to produce. If you are expecting, you know, in a -- I don't know, a third grade class, a fully

NEAL R. GROSS

formed paragraph, but you have a student who just arrived in the United States, hasn't studied English before, that child is not going to be able to compose a -- you know, a paragraph with a topic sentence and three supporting sentences, and the conclusion.

So that child may orally tell us some of the information, as best he or she can. Maybe we could give that child some sentence frames with a word bank, and that child might write two or three sentences about the topic until they gain more skills. We are still going to hope to get them to that five-sentence paragraph later on in the school year, but at the beginning we need to start where the child is and build their English, so that they can achieve those grade level goals.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you. Thank you. Okay. Mr. Biddle?

MS. RIVERA: If I can just answer very briefly. One of the innovative things that we -- that we promote is extended

NEAL R. GROSS

1	learning time with regard especially with
2	students that are in high school, because they
3	are not going to be able to perform within the
4	regular classroom.
5	And high school students are at a
6	point where, if they get discouraged, they
7	drop out. So that is one of the innovative
8	things that we are looking at.
9	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
10	Okay. Mr. Biddle?
11	MEMBER BIDDLE: Thank you. For
12	Ms. Rivera, I have a quick question. You
13	talked during your testimony about native
14	language assessments in content areas. Is
15	that correct?
16	MS. RIVERA: Yes.
17	MEMBER BIDDLE: I would be curious
18	on your thoughts on how long or should there
19	even be a cutoff point for making available
20	these native language assessments in the
21	content areas.

RIVERA:

MS.

22

should

There

definitely be a cutoff point, because you want them to become proficient, and then be able to take exams in English language. But in order to get there, that is why we are asking for native language assessment.

MEMBER BIDDLE: So to follow up on that, what do you envision as being the cutoff point to get there? Or is it -- I mean, I could see a number of ways to scaffold it.

MS. RIVERA: Exactly. It would vary by student, and that is where you would -- you would determine this by, again, the standards that you adopt and determining it by the level of proficiency.

MEMBER BIDDLE: And then, do you see a scenario in which there is -- as a student is approaching that cutoff threshold, we may -- and I can't imagine being a student who falls in this unlucky domain -- be someone who essentially is being asked to take the content assessment in both their native language as well as in English, as they are

NEAL R. GROSS

1	essentially crossing that threshold to the
2	point where we want them taking it only in
3	English?
4	MS. RIVERA: There may be
5	situations
6	MEMBER BIDDLE: Okay.
7	MS. RIVERA: when that would
8	happen.
9	MEMBER BIDDLE: And I would be
10	curious about, do you know of other states or
11	jurisdictions that are doing a lot of native
12	language assessment in the content areas?
13	MS. RIVERA: There are some
14	states, but I can't name them off the top
15	right now, but I will be happy to provide that
16	information to the Board.
17	MEMBER BIDDLE: Yes, I would love
18	to see that, just to get some thoughts on
19	that, because, obviously, I think that as we
20	are moving toward potentially adopting these
21	common core standards a lot of conversation

has circled around the notion that it will

enhance states to have common assessments that, you know, we know that proficient in D.C. means they are proficient in -- name one of your dozens of states that are on board with the common core.

And I would imagine that common core would also make it easier for us to be doing able to get into native language assessments in content areas, because we would be assessing the same content across many more jurisdictions. And one of the challenges we in the District is would have we relatively small jurisdiction. Ιf needing to create assessments specific assessments in -- I believe you suggested the top five spoken languages --

MS. RIVERA: Right.

MEMBER BIDDLE: -- in the District, that gets us creating and buying, so it does come down to, you know, a budgetary issue --

MS. RIVERA: Sure.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MEMBER BIDDLE: in an
2	environment where if we were eventually custom
3	ordering large small numbers of these
4	assessments it could be cost prohibitive. But
5	I imagine that if we list out the top five
6	spoken languages here there exists a number of
7	students across the country in various
8	jurisdictions that we would be able to get
9	engaged in giving those assessments across
10	multiple states, and, therefore, get them at a
11	reasonable cost, because we obviously want to
12	make sure we do what is in the best interest
13	of our students, and, frankly, give our
14	teachers the best tools to support them.
15	And we also have to be mindful of the
16	fact that we live in a and as a reminder on
17	a more daily basis in the world of government
18	these days, in a world of limited resources.
19	So thank you.
20	MS. RIVERA: Thank you.
21	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you,
22	Mr. Biddle.

Now we have our Ward 7 representative, Ms. Douglas.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Good evening.

It's an honor to be your representative for Ward 7, and to have Cesar Chavez School here.

I'm glad to have you all sitting here, to be here to represent Ward 7. And I know you all are doing a wonderful job at Cesar Chavez, because I have been hearing that.

But I did have some concerns in reference not just to Cesar Chavez but other schools that I have been visiting and observing. I notice that a lot of young kids — I say young kids, third grade and younger kids, like the kindergarten on up, they received a lot of worksheets.

And that concerns me, because -and on those worksheets the instructions are
not very clearly -- clear to the parents or to
the learner that is trying to learn. And I
find math or English, which are so important,
and also observing in the high schools that

that's the same issue, too. So I think there needs to be more hands-on involvement with the teachers. And I know staff has been kind of short, too, during the year.

But can you give me some feedback?

What are some of the things that you are doing to kind of like -- in other words, doing the teaching themselves, and they do have difficulties in not learning, because there are some students that I have known that have problems grasping English. And what are some of the other resources and things that you are using to help those kids excel that are doing very low in that area?

MS. KING: I will take that question. Again, I am Jennifer King. At Cesar Chavez, our ELL program has an afterschool component to it. So for both our middle school and our high school we are there from 4:00 to 5:00 with our English language learners working on homework assignments.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Okay. Also, are

NEAL R. GROSS

there any other projects that you are doing that the kids can go off, do themselves, and set up in a corner, like a little resource that they can -- when they are having difficulties? Because some kids are kind of like, when others are doing well, and they just take a little bit more time than others.

So what are the processes that you're using to help those that need that little extra time to get that -- to grasp that English lesson plan that you have planned?

MS. KING: While I work in the classroom, I also have a center set up with computers. I have an ELL library. I have a recorded library. I have adapted text materials from 6th through 12th grade that all English language learners can use, so we can move ahead and advance more quickly in the content.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: My time is up, but thank you. And also, I'm sure you are using the public libraries. That is

NEAL R. GROSS

important, too. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.

Did we have any other members on the first round before I go to the second round? Okay.

Ms. Wade?

MEMBER WADE: Yes. My question again is general, and it has to do with the inclusionary and the -- I don't like the word "segregation," but I guess you could say that, the "pull-outs" I would rather use.

that in many the We know African-American households non-standard English and ebonics is the primary language. So my concern becomes, how are these children being helped? And would the WIDA method of instruction, in your opinion, benefit those children who may as well be speaking a Third World language for all intents and purposes as far as career and education goes, who can't а decent sentence, because you speaking what you hear and know.

So when you talk about the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 reading, writing, listening, and speaking, do you find that the children who are in the inclusionary classrooms, who get the ELL in inclusionary classrooms, if it spills over into the general population, and, therefore, benefitting all of the children?

And if that is the case, what would be the argument for -- other than for a small amount of the children -- the pull-out method? I'm really -- I really have a great passion for that, and I feel a lot of our children are being left behind, because they are not reinforced in the language.

MS. MAATTA: I can speak to that. In a lot of the training I do on methods for working with ELLs, when I work with general educators one of the first things they'll say is that these methods are great for all my struggling students, not just the English language learners. So I could see the WIDA standards, especially, you know, in domains of speaking and writing those productive domains,

NEAL R. GROSS

could be very useful for students that use ebonics at home.

I also think there could be some training for teachers in teaching all students about language, and that you may code-switch, you may speak a different way at home and that's fine, that's what you speak at home. But when you're in a formal setting, now we are going to use our school English and not --just let them understanding that they are code-switching, and there are two different ways that we speak. And you speak certain ways in different settings, and we all change our register and the words we use depending on the people that we are with.

And Ι think that would be something that we could teach all teachers to share with their students. Students are aware cognitive of the and are two types languages that they are speaking, and those same methods that we use for ESL can carry over and help students.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
2	very much.
3	Ms. Rivera, just one quick
4	question before you leave, and this one is
5	more for our general viewing public. Some of
6	the members of the viewing public might not be
7	as familiar with the subject as you as subject
8	matter experts are.
9	When you talked about native
10	language assessments and the five languages
11	that are primarily seen here in the District
12	of Columbia, could you name those five
13	languages, or the ones that you see as being
14	the most common?
15	MS. RIVERA: I went by the
16	presentation that you received in your
17	December meeting, and I do not have the notes
18	in front of me. I know that Spanish was one
19	of the languages.
20	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Of course,
21	yes.
22	MS. RIVERA: And I believe it was

1	Vietnamese, and you have the
2	MS. MAATTA: I have them.
3	MS. RIVERA: Okay.
4	MS. MAATTA: Yes. They're
5	Spanish, Chinese, French, Vietnamese, and
6	Amharic.
7	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Okay. And
8	so it is your suggestion that we do the
9	assessments in all five of those languages.
10	MS. RIVERA: Correct. As I we
11	were speaking earlier about the native
12	language assessment, we understand that you
13	have there has to be some cost
14	effectiveness there. We understand that it is
15	cost prohibitive from again, from the
16	information that I received and looked at from
17	the last presentation that was done to the
18	Board, I believe there is 130 languages spoken
19	in your D.C. schools.
20	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Right.
21	MS. RIVERA: So what we are
22	looking at is just to capture your primary

languages, the top five.

MS. MAATTA: Can I also add? As you are speaking about assessment, I would also urge the Board to investigate the ONPAR test that is being developed by the Center for Applied Linguistics. And it is a test that is designed for ESL students that requires very little English knowledge to complete the test.

They are working on it currently in science and in math, and it is at benchmark level, so it wouldn't be available for every grade. But it could be a test that all ESL students could use, and it is — they are able to use it. It doesn't matter what language they speak or their proficiency in their home language.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Okay. Thank you very much.

If there are no more questions from the members of the State Board, I want to thank our first panel for being here tonight.

Thank you for your testimony, and you are

excused.

Let me call the second panel to the table -- Michael Sindram, who was here with us last week, Bonnie Moisan, and Hiam Muhawi of the Stoddart Elementary School.

And, again, if you would identify yourselves for the record, and the time clock is over to your left. We'll begin, actually, with Mr. Sindram.

MR. SINDRAM: Good evening, Chair Trabue. You are moving up in the world, as usual.

Board members, Michael Sindram.

And as you have indicated, Mr. Chair, I was here at the last meeting regarding the student conduct code, specifically University of the District of Columbia. I hope this honorable Board hasn't forgotten, because I haven't gotten an update either from it or certainly not from UDC, and we know full well justice delayed is just ice denied. Sad but true.

I would like to begin by saying,

and not in a pejorative sense, but those fresh off the banana boat have a very difficult time with the English language, and certainly the English culture. And I took Spanish at the university level and wrangled with it.

The problem is that in the classroom setting was a limited time dealt with the language other than tapes and a Unless and until you speak that textbook. second language at home and elsewhere, in other words on a regular basis, it really dies. And here and now, Ι as obviously, if it was in a different tongue, we would difficult time have а very communicating.

Reading and writing is fundamental, and what I'm saying is aside from textbook and tapes and classroom, it takes a bit more, actually a lot more -offline if will, you at home, on the playground, on the street. And I'm talking about street lingo. I'm talking about

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

language where you are intelligibly communicating one with another.

Τ did want bring this to to Board's attention, if you don't know it already, the D.C. Language Access Act, which is law. And it indicates here the Act's phased implementation, the Act applied on its effective date to District of Columbia public schools.

Language Access Act is to be enforced, and I have a number of copies here I can pass up for the Board to peruse at your convenience. It is enforced by the Office of Human Rights.

In fact, they have a public complaint form when there appears to be a violation of -- and it deals with courts, for instance, when you need an interpreter that's not available. And where would justice -- I didn't say "just ice" -- be if someone could not intelligibly communicate with the court and understand the proceedings?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	The same difference in the
2	classroom. So to wrap it up, I will pass up
3	the Language Access. It is a flyer that is
4	distributed by Office of Human Rights. And
5	then, the complaint form.
6	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you,
7	Mr. Sindram.
8	MR. SINDRAM: Thank you.
9	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Again, you
10	can pass the information to Jamikka over there
11	on the left, and she will make sure that we
12	all get a copy of it.
13	MR. SINDRAM: Very well.
14	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
15	Thank you, sir.
16	Now, am I pronouncing your name
17	Ms. Moisan?
18	MS. MOISAN: I'm Bonnie.
19	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Yes.
20	MS. MOISAN: I'm Bonnie Moisan.
21	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Yes.
22	MS. MOISAN: Okay.

1	VICE DECIDEND DADIE. Voi Loo
1	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: You're
2	next.
3	MS. MOISAN: Well, I want to thank
4	you for having us tonight. Thank you to
5	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Pull the
6	mic a little closer.
7	MS. MOISAN: Thank you to all the
8	council members. I am an English language
9	teacher at Stoddart Elementary. This is my
LO	ninth year at the same school in the District.
L1	Keep in mind that what I am going
12	to talk about this evening is really comparing
L3	the DCPS standards and the WIDA standards, so
L 4	that might help. An analogy here would
L5	contribute to the understanding, the
16	distinction or the distinction between DCPS
L7	standards and WIDA.
L8	Students in the fourth grade are
L9	expected to meet the standard of jumping a
20	four-foot hurdle before advancing to the next
21	grade level. It will be assumed that there
l	

has been sufficient research to establish this

age appropriateness of this task for the fourth graders.

This assignment or standard, however, gives us no instruction or guidelines on how to accomplish this. There are several aspects that need to be addressed, since each student enters the class with different ability levels.

How the task is to be accomplished is entirely up to the teacher. Experienced teachers understand that certain techniques and methodologies work better than others. There is a generally agreed-upon analysis of the task and to components.

It is known that students focus their efforts more effectively, and, thus, make stepwise progress towards the end goal when each component is taken separately, and just beyond their ability or zone of proximal development. So we build it up a bit.

For the task of jumping a hurdle, these components may include running fast,

NEAL R. GROSS

developing physical stamina, springing up on one leg, developing balance, and so on. Of course, this is only an illustration, but it would be reasonable to expect that most, if not all, of such skills would be taught explicitly to beginning jumpers.

Given that teachers are faced with teaching standards, guidance that has proven to be classroom effective in all aspects of teaching to each standard would contribute enormously to student achievement. The fact DCPS standards that the do not such breakdown of teachers skills any academic materials. In other words, we are on our own to teach the DCPS standards.

In my opinion, it is -- WIDA is engaged in providing teachers a roadmap of teaching basic language standards in conjunction with the DCPS standards. This roadmap has great potential to optimize the effectiveness of a teacher's teaching and student achievement. The WIDA standards

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

provide examples of what a student should be able to do within a content standard, depending on the language proficiency of that student. So whether that student is maybe a non-speaker, a new speaker, been with us a few months.

Let's just take a look at one DCPS standard. Academic standard -- distinguish fact from opinion. We need to teach that to ELL students, to English language learners.

It is the job of the teachers to bring these ELLs up to that standard. Many ELLs have no English at all, or they have been maybe with us for part of an instructional year. Therefore, the starting point is all very different. We use the WIDA to assess and look at -- for language lessons and progress.

There must be a certain level of language proficiency before even attempting the content standard. It is important, then, to be able to evaluate each student and identify his or her readiness in regards to

NEAL R. GROSS

that standard. Only then can that standard itself become the focus of effective an classroom session. Guidance and support during early developmental stages makes all difference in the world, the and WIDA standards establishes this base.

With the use of WIDA --

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I'm going to have to ask you to wrap up. We're about a minute over.

MS. MOISAN: Wrap Okay. up? Basically, what I am trying to say here is that what we are doing is we are breaking this like learning how to run down jump a With WIDA, the steps are broken down hurdle. with the English language and how to bring an entering -- a beginning student, moving them to make progress to actually become proficient with the academic standards. So WIDA supplies that base for us.

Thank you.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

very much.

Ms. Muhawi?

MS. MUHAWI: Good evening, everybody, and thanks for giving us the opportunity to testify on behalf of our ELL students tonight.

My testimony actually will be tailored about how we utilize the ELL standards at Stoddart. I have been with DCPS for -- this is my 20th year, and I have been an ELL teacher and ACCESS chair for the last 17 years at Stoddart.

To give you an idea about Stoddart and the English language learners there, it is a multicultural school, has a very diversified population. Out of our -- we have almost 28 countries represented at Stoddart. Out of the 292 students at Stoddart, we have 126 ELL -- sorry, language minority students. Out of them, 95 are ELL learners, and they are ELL learners because they come to Stoddart with a language different than English.

the

And their proficiency level does not allow them to -
VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: If you

little bit

more

into

MS. MUHAWI: Oh, sorry. And their proficiency level does not allow them to fully participate in the general education program of the school.

So what is the expectations for Stoddart's ELL students? Number one would be to meet the same high academic standards as the mainstream; and, two, to demonstrate improvement in their English proficiency language every year.

What kind of instructional programs we use at Stoddart -- and I know that you stressed a lot about the pull-out ELL. We do pull-out only for those who come to the country and they are non-English speakers, but we don't have any curriculum isolation. Just to answer your question.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

could

speak

а

microphone, so they can pick you up.

We fully cooperate with the teachers, and we work on exactly what the teachers are working in the classroom, but we break it down in a simpler manner. We have content-based ELL, and then we have the inclusion program as well.

Now, what standards are ELL students held accountable to learn? thing like the mainstream. Academic achievements, District of Columbia Comprehensive Assessment System, the DC CAS, then we have the levels for K and 5 -- to 5, and English language proficiency assessing comprehension and communication for English, which is the ACCESS.

Now, what kind of practices we use for the ELL instructions, at Stoddart again, it is exactly the same -- integration of language and content by examining the DCPS standardized standards and the WIDA ELL standards, to determine the content area language to be taught. So we do not work in

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

isolation; we use both.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And then, we promote standardsbased classrooms, which is DCPS standards and WIDA standards ELL at the same time. Cooperative learning groups, hands-on experiences, and use of visuals and manipulatives, scaffolding and connecting to prior knowledge, data-driven we use high instruction, expectations, challenging standards.

Collaboration with mainstream teachers -- and this is a must -- it is very important that we, you know, like maintain the collaboration with the mainstream teachers.

And we involve the parents a lot.

Parental involvement, in my opinion, is a big-time, you know, step towards improvement and the success of the ELL students at school.

And then, adaptation of materials, where you could go up higher or lower depending on the student's levels.

Now, how do we use the teaching

1	and the learning framework to guide
2	instruction for the ELLs? At Stoddart again,
3	to ensure that rigorous instructional program,
4	we develop ambitious and measurable annual
5	student achievement goals that is aligned with
6	DCPS content standards and the English
7	proficiency standards. And this can be
8	achieved by using the ACCESS for ELL and DC
9	CAS. We look at the data, and we develop
10	these achievement goals.
11	Then, we develop the units that
12	are content-based and English proficiency
13	based. Then, we create objectives-driven
14	lesson plans, and the objectives are aligned,
15	again, with the D.C. content standards and the
16	ELL proficiency standards.
17	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I'm going
18	to have to ask you to wrap up.
19	MS. MUHAWI: Okay.
20	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Forty-five
21	seconds.
22	MS. MUHAWI: After that, just to

give you an example -- for example for the language of language arts. If I use, for example, the main idea and the details, I go to the second grade if I'm using the second grade standards DCPS, and the third grade, which talks about the graphic organizations and main ideas and details.

And then, I go to the WIDA ELL standards and break it down, like if I'm using -- if I'm teaching Level 1 of the students who are on ELL Level 1, then I go down and I simplify my language and my lesson to blend that with the other one. And you have the example here.

And towards the end, I just want to thank you very much and recommend that you adopt and approve the WIDA standards to work hand-in-hand with the DCPS standards.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.

MS. MUHAWI: Thank you very much.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very, very much, for your testimony. Thank

NEAL R. GROSS

1	all of you for your testimony.
2	Let me go to my colleagues on the
3	State Board. And I want to also acknowledge
4	the presence of our representative from Ward
5	8, William Lockridge, who has joined us. Oh,
6	and Laura Slover as well. We will start with
7	Ms. Wade for the questions.
8	MEMBER WADE: Yes. Ms let me
9	see, from Stoddart. I'm sorry, I don't have
10	your name. From Stoddart? Yes.
11	MS. MUHAWI: Ms. Muhawi or Ms
12	me?
13	MEMBER WADE: Yes, you.
14	MS. MUHAWI: Yes.
15	MEMBER WADE: Okay. Do the
16	students at Stoddart who are in the ELL or the
17	WIDA standards program take the DC CAS?
18	MS. MUHAWI: They do take the DC
19	CAS.
20	MEMBER WADE: Is it in English or
21	
22	MS. MUHAWI: In English.

1 | MEMBER WADE: It is in English.

MS. MUHAWI: And that may be something we could discuss later, you know, as I --

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Speak into the mic, so we can hear you.

MS. MUHAWI: Oh, I'm sorry. I think it is really very challenging for them, especially students who come to the country within the first year to take the DC CAS and it's very challenging and frustrating. And we're trying our best to work with them as much as possible to simplify the language and work with them on grade level, but it hasn't been successful.

And schools are not meeting the AYPs because of this component, and we are trying as much as possible, but it's very frustrating for the kids. And I am hoping that you are listening to this with good spirit to try to make a difference in that respect.

1	MEMBER WADE: That is one of the
2	reasons I am concerned, because
3	MS. MUHAWI: Right.
4	MEMBER WADE: of the AYP and
5	the CAS.
6	MS. MUHAWI: Right.
7	MEMBER WADE: And also, you said
8	you have 126 different
9	MS. MUHAWI: Yes. We have 126
10	language minority students. But out of these
11	we have 95 of them who are ELL, and I would
12	assure you that we have maybe like 50 to 60
13	students who are non-English speakers. And we
14	learn, we teach them, I mean, and they work
15	very hard, and they try to make it and
16	mainstream them as much as possible. And we
17	do not work in isolation. I do have
18	MEMBER WADE: So you have how many
19	ELL teachers there?
20	MS. MUHAWI: Three.
21	MEMBER WADE: Three?
22	MS. MUHAWI: Yes.

1	MEMBER WADE: And you all can
2	speak all of those languages, or
3	MS. MUHAWI: Not really.
4	MEMBER WADE: How do you handle
5	that?
6	MS. MOISAN: We are at the same
7	school, so we have
8	MEMBER WADE: Okay.
9	MS. MOISAN: 26 different
10	languages this year at our school.
11	MS. MUHAWI: And, I mean, it's not
12	healthy to use their languages. You want them
13	to learn in English, and you want them to
14	adapt to the environment as much as possible,
15	and we encourage them to do that. But, you
16	know, we so far we have been successful at
17	it. I mean, we have almost 28 countries
18	represented at Stoddart. It's like a mini
19	United Nations.
20	MEMBER WADE: Thank you, and keep
21	up the good work.
22	MS. MUHAWI: Thank you so much.

1	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
2	very much.
3	Ms. Slover?
4	MEMBER SLOVER: Thank you, Mr.
5	Trabue.
6	Welcome to all of you, and thank
7	you so much for coming. And I guess I should
8	start by saying, Mr. Sindram, I'm sorry that
9	your predicament has not changed since we
10	heard from you last week. And I hope that you
11	will keep us informed and let us know what we
12	can do to assist.
13	But I had some questions for
14	MR. SINDRAM: Nothing will happen,
15	Ms. Slover, with all due respect, unless you
16	make it happen. So please help me help you
17	help us.
18	MEMBER SLOVER: I gotcha. I have
19	a couple questions for you all, and I
20	appreciate you sharing the work from your
21	experience at Stoddart.

There is a lot of discussion right

now about the benefits of common standards. There is an effort underway in math and English, as you are probably aware, and I really want to hear from you about what you think are one of the promises of common standards.

And WIDA is a consortium of a number of states. I can't remember off the top of my head how many, but we are talking tonight about adopting a set of standards. And I appreciate that you all are in support of that.

Talk to us about the other tools that have been available to you through the participation in this consortium. The things that -- a couple of things you mentioned were, of the in particular, some teacher assessments, the assessment -- the classroom assessments, because one of the promises of course of doing anything in common is that the economies of scale allow one to therefore, take advantage of the other tools

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that can be created.
2	So I wanted to hear a little bit
3	about those tools and how those have really
4	impacted you and your work.
5	MS. MOISAN: Are you referring to
6	the say we use the ACCESS testing? I know
7	we're using the
8	MEMBER SLOVER: Yes. You
9	mentioned the tests, and then some of the
10	other tools that the WIDA consortium offers.
11	I think they offer some things along the lines
12	of professional development.
13	MS. MOISAN: Well
14	MEMBER SLOVER: We had the
15	Executive Director here in December
16	MS. MOISAN: Yes.
17	MEMBER SLOVER: Mr. Boals, and
18	he mentioned some of those things, and I
19	wanted to see if you had actually had the
20	opportunity to use some of those tools.
21	MS. MOISAN: Well, when they do
22	offer professional development, we do attend

when they are available. We would actually like to see more, and, as Hiam has said, I think the -- we really encourage WIDA, if they could, to develop not only more within each domain but more professional development, I think especially for new teachers who -- and I think some of you talked about, how do you take students from mainstream, and would this -- would, you know, the kinds of things that we do benefit? Definitely.

this Ι think is really teaching -- the kind of teaching we do is really not isolated from the regular classroom. And we coordinate with the regular teachers in giving them information about how we scaffold, how we work with, you know, the students who come in. If they're in school system for, I believe it's 12 months --I believe it's 12 months -- then they would take the standardized tests.

So we are being asked to -- whatever tools we can use and are available,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	we use all of them, because we are if you
2	can imagine I don't know if you have
3	visited a foreign country, or learned a
4	foreign language, but put yourself in the
5	shoes of a student who comes to this country,
6	comes to our classroom, and we have to within
7	12 months of them being in school, whether
8	they come in the spring, it is broken up by
9	summer. They are in our classroom for 12
10	months, and we have to have them take the
11	standardized tests.
12	So whatever is available we use.
13	I don't know if I have really answered your
14	question, but whatever we
15	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
16	very much.
17	Mr. Lockridge?
18	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Good evening.
19	Does all of your students at Stoddart
20	participate in some in an inclusion model?
21	Does the students who speak English also

participate in the program? And, if so, are

1	all of the students, some of the students? At
2	Stoddart. Any one of you.
3	MS. MUHAWI: Do you want me to
4	answer that? We have the content-based ESL,
5	even if it's a pull-out program, only for the
6	kids who come only to the country, like say
7	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: You have
8	to speak into the mic. We can't hear you.
9	MS. MUHAWI: Oh, I'm sorry. Let's
10	say a kid comes to the school today
11	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Still not
12	pull it down.
13	MS. MUHAWI: All right. Let's say
14	a kid comes in today to the school and he
15	doesn't understand a word of English. My job
16	is to pull him out of the classroom for like
17	at least maybe two hours a day where I am
18	working on his math and on his reading, but
19	not in isolation. Whatever is
20	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: That wasn't my
21	question. I'm saying that if I understand
22	what is happening in terms of the

1	MS. MUHAWI: Right.
2	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: non-English
3	speaking students.
4	MS. MUHAWI: Right.
5	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: I'm asking
6	about the English speaking students. Do they
7	participate jointly in any kind of Spanish or
8	any other kind of language at Stoddart?
9	MS. MOISAN: We don't have
10	MS. MUHAWI: We don't have any
11	MS. MOISAN: Right now, we don't.
12	Actually, that position was pulled this year.
13	We did have a Spanish teacher, but the
14	position was
15	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: How important
16	do you think it is I mean, not I agree
17	that students who come into our school system
18	need to learn English, Spanish speaking and
19	otherwise. But I am also concerned with
20	English speaking students.
21	And since you said Spanish, you
22	had a Spanish teacher, in some communities and

some jobs on the applications for those jobs right now you have -- if I don't speak Spanish, and a person comes in with equal -- pretty much equal qualifications for a position, except for their languages, the person with the Spanish is more likely the English speaker to get a job than a non-English speaking person.

So I'm concerned with this, and it's a little off of what we -- the standard here, but I think included in the standard, in another different way it's some standard, it ought to be the other way around as well, because if the lack of students -- I know several people who go out to get jobs. The Spanish speaking person gets the jobs, they speak English.

And I think it is -- we are moving now into a situation where it is unequal, and it is -- and because we are looking out for one group or two groups, we are missing a whole population of students who are not going

NEAL R. GROSS

to be prepared for the 21st century, because there are numbers saying -- you can call me on this if I'm wrong -- that by a certain time the Spanish speaking -- this would almost be a Spanish speaking country, because of the level of Hispanics. It is the next minority in this country.

longer will SO no we concerned about speaking Spanish as even though English will be the primary language, you need to speak both languages. And so I want to be able to provide for that same -- put that same energy and that same enthusiasm you have, and concern, about students who are just moving here, students that have been here and their parents for two, three decades, and they are not getting the same quality of education from a non-English speaking -- I mean, English speakers that the non-English speakers are receiving.

So I guess at one point in time I would like for this Board to really look

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

serious about a standard that requires or a requirement that would require the language besides English be Spanish, and that it starts very early. The same rules that are laid down for Spanish speakers or non-English speaking students would be the same requirements or standards for English speakers learning to speak one of those other two languages. And, in my mind, it would be Spanish.

So I am in total agreement that we should do something. I am going to support these standards, but I would -- with the understanding that this Board should be moving in a similar direction, because if we are preparing kids for the future, that is going to be our future. And we ought to be realistic about that at this point.

Even with the numbers you have here, almost one -- a little less than one-third of the students that you have that are speaking I guess -- I don't know what language

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	it is 95 speaking Spanish and is that
2	correct?
3	MS. MOISAN: No, no. Primarily,
4	we have
5	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Primarily? How
6	much is "primarily"?
7	MS. MOISAN: Actually, that is one
8	of our for us, we don't have that many
9	Spanish speaking students, but we do have
10	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Well, how many
11	give me a breakdown.
12	MS. MOISAN: We have a I think
13	our
14	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: What is the
15	major
16	MS. MOISAN: most of the
17	population is Russian. We
18	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Russian?
19	MS. MOISAN: Russian speakers, and
20	Chinese.
21	MS. MUHAWI: Chinese. And,
22	actually, East Europeans, Middle Easterners.

1 MS. MOISAN: We have French. 2 MUHAWI: People from Africa, MS. 3 from France. MS. MOISAN: The Middle East. 4 Middle 5 MS. MUHAWI: East, you 6 Spanish probably would have --7 MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: They getting a quality education here in the United 8 States. 9 10 MS. MUHAWI: Right. MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: 11 As they come And I'm just looking at the kids that 12 13 are already here in the United States that are citizens, and what kind of education are they 14 15 going receive in terms of learning to 16 additional languages and making requirements? And, you know, I understand we are 17 18 going to spend a lot of money in education, 19 and I just -- I have a feeling that I don't grandson --20 ΜV son or my grandson, a brand-new grandson, I want him to 21

have the same opportunity as another language,

speaking another language. That shouldn't be a right or a privilege that a person -- that a person lives in the United States, a citizen receives as a citizen.

And just like the students coming in that are non-citizens, we are making big waves for them, but we are forgetting about the students who are citizens and preparing them, so that they will be global. We are preparing a group of students, no matter who they are -- English learners -- I mean, non-English speakers, whether it's China, whether it's German, or whoever. We are preparing them better for their future than we are our own students.

I don't want us to get too far ahead of ourselves in terms of what we provide for students who are citizens of the United States of America. In this district -- and I understand that this is the nation's capital. This should be the place where it starts and it began.

NEAL R. GROSS

1 VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Mr. 2 Lockridge, I --3 MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: And just what do the embassies do to support this? 4 These 5 folks probably from some of their are 6 countries, and they should be aware of this 7 and made to produce some money to support the that here 8 citizens come to move to they are citizens of their 9 citizens, but 10 country. 11 I'm sorry. VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you, 12 13 Mr. Lockridge. I think you bring up some interesting points about our world language 14 15 standards, and I appreciate your comments. 16 Ms. Lord? Thank you very much, 17 MEMBER LORD: be the first to send the new 18 and let me 19 grandson lullaby tape in Spanish а 20 Japanese. Thank you to the panel. I would 21 22 like to explore a little further the notion of preparing our educators and our schools to accept, accommodate, and help a growing number of English language learners succeed.

In your professional opinion, do you think that the WIDA standards are -- that we are well positioned adopting the WIDA standards to accommodate a growing number of immigrant children? And if there are gaps, could you point those out to us, so that we could begin to think about what the next step should be?

MS. MUHAWI: Do you want me to talk about that? I think they need some work, to be honest with you. I think they need to be more comprehensive. I think they need to handle more of content area, and I only will use them as -- hand-in-hand with the content area standards, unless they have -- unless some work would be done on them to make them more comprehensive and more content, you know, like based, because, as I said, you don't want the kids to learn in isolation, and you want

NEAL R. GROSS

them to function in the classroom as fast as possible. So they need to be worked on more than that.

MEMBER LORD: Ms. Moisan, do you share that idea?

MS. MOISAN: I do. I think the more professional development, the more materials we have available and trained in, the better off we'll be. I think as much as the -- and the communication I think between English language learners -- just like the CIA and the Secret Service, we need communication against the grade levels and against -- across groups of teachers.

What is working? We need to know what is working. Whether you are a regular standardized teacher, whether you have, you know, a group of learners that aren't doing so well, what is working? There are teachers in this district that know what works. They know how to teach well, and I feel that along with these standards, as a base WIDA standards,

NEAL R. GROSS

yes, if they could be extended more of -- more examples, more guidance, yes, of course. All teachers, you know, desire that.

But as it is now, you know, it's a little different, because we are left to teach the standards, the DCPS standards, on our own. So it is a little different, so it would be great to have.

MEMBER LORD: Another quickie, some schools, notably Ross Elementary in my neighborhood, has showed impressive gains with English language learners on the DC CAS. Could the WIDA standards that -- or practices that are in place now in any way account for some of those gains?

MS. MOISAN: I absolutely think so. I think that what you do as an English teacher, you take the language component, you have to. Every standard I teach I use the WIDA in that you are taking the language component.

If I am teaching fact and opinion,

NEAL R. GROSS

I take phrases, I take language we use in everyday talking to use -- to talk about how to teach fact and opinion. When do we use the word fact? When do we use the word opinion? What phrases do we use? What's the grammar we use? You break it down.

Any student across the District, if they're not already familiar with that, benefits from that kind of teaching. WIDA gives us the base.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Mr. Biddle?

MEMBER BIDDLE: Thank you. I've got a couple of comments, and then a couple of questions. So I think one of the things that — and this came out during the presentation from WIDA that we got about a month ago, and it has also actually come up when we have talked about special education.

And that is, you know, the principles that we are looking at in these

NEAL R. GROSS

standards really fundamentally are about what good and sound teaching are, and I think that sometimes people take their eye off the ball and think, "Oh, wow, this is like new and fantastic stuff that we are doing to somehow support a group of students," when it is actually what we ought to be doing for all of our students. I mean, just the methodology and the approach to make sure that students master something is just -- it is just good teaching.

And so I would hope that people -and I am going to ask you all to continue to
advocate amongst your peers that what we are
doing, the English language learners, is
simply a good model for good instruction for
all children in all areas.

The second comment is I left up here during the presentation to double-check on something that I thought I remembered and I was correct -- that in the standards and assessment area that we are pursuing for our

NEAL R. GROSS

Race to the Top application, which is a big potential federal grant, it is very big actually, one of the things that we are looking at is support for teachers in getting the resources to help implement standards.

And one of the things that I have raised on -- as we have passed standards over the last few years is that it is great to pass a strong set of standards that represents what we want children to know. The challenge tends to be the gap in between what the standard is and the tools and resources that the teachers have to actually teach those standards.

And having taught for many years, I can -- sometimes that gap is more like a chasm than it is a gap. And so I think that one of the things that we that's have to continue to be mindful of, because we can have outstanding standards and no way to get from So Ι think this to there. is important thing to keep in mind. But we are working on that.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And to segue, I would interested in -- I'm going to throw three questions at you, and whichever you can get to I would love to hear. One, what types of tools do you think that teachers would need to be more effective in teaching these standards? Two, how can we -- how can those tools be made accessible, in what format? Whether they're online, hard copy, or other ways to get them to teachers. And then, how teachers be trained and supported in using the tools, so they can teach to the mastery of the standards?

So you've got about 45 seconds. Whatever you can get in there, thanks.

MS. MUHAWI: I would think, you know, like professional development is a bigtime component. And the possibility is before school starts, you know, like to train everybody on the standards or whatever is coming our way, like the new teaching and learning framework. That is a very new tool.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And if you are not really seasoned and know what you are doing, you can't pull the standards framework and the ELL -- or the ELP standards together to make a good unit and good goals for the students.

So you really need to know exactly what you are doing here, because it combining sets of tools to two successful, measurable goals for the kids towards mastery. And so I think, you know, like professional development is very, important for people to into come classroom at the beginning of the school year knowing what they are exactly doing. And that needs done, I'm afraid, before to be schooltime, because once school starts there is no time for professional development.

And the possibility of piloting the programs and, you know, like knowing maybe for a year's time you pilot it in certain schools and see it works, you go with it, it does not -- some tweaking and changing here

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 and there. But to me professional 2 parental involvement development, and 3 another component. 4 You know, parents really need to know what they are doing as well with the 5 6 kids, because a teacher's hand does not clap 7 alone, you know. You need parental 8 community involvement as well. And actually -- I 9 MEMBER BIDDLE: 10 realize that I have now run over my time, but interested in the 11 answer to this am question, so I am actually going to follow-up 12 13 with both of you to get more response on this I want to respect the fact that I'm 14 question. 15 over time, but I will follow-up with each of 16 you to get more information. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. MUHAWI: Great. Thank you so 19 much. 20 Thank you, VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Mr. Biddle, for respecting the time. 21 Thank

NEAL R. GROSS

you.

We have our last question on this first round from Ms. Douglas.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Yes. Good evening. I'm glad to have you here.

I have some concerns. I overheard you mention that some students are given two hours to try to learn the English language kind of isolated. So what type of instruction or teacher that's there, or aide, is helping the young male or female that is having difficulty struggling to learn English? So when you put them aside for two hours, so how — how do you assess that, and what data are you using to see — comparing with the others how well that person is excelling in their education and learning our language?

MS. MUHAWI: I could answer to that. They are not pulled for two hours during the daytime. They are pulled during the language arts period, and they are non-English speakers who do not speak one word of English.

NEAL R. GROSS

So what happens is like we scaffold. Like if I'm getting second third graders who speak no word of English, we go back to the basics of the language. first basics back to the of grade, kindergarten, whatever, and work it out starting with the alphabets to the phonics to the sounding system, and so forth, to try to build them up where they come to comprehension of first grade content curriculum, and, you know, like math reading, and so forth.

So you try to build all of that with them, so once they are in the classroom they can function. And so that is just to expedite the process a little bit more.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Okay. My other question, I question -- thank you. My other question, I was concerned -- I know that Spanish is one of the criterion of teaching in D.C. public schools and charters. But I am finding the younger -- other kids that are -- does not

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 speak English, they have a tendency to learn, 2 too. 3 comparing -- putting the two So 4 together, how -- is there any way, data that you can compare to see -- what data do you use 5 6 to compare how well they are doing with group 7 learning, you know, with their peers? Because sometimes they learn quicker with their peers. 8 Is there a process that you all 9 10 use in grouping those kids together with their peers? Because they can get on that telephone 11 and listen to the music and learn it real 12 13 quick. So what kind of tools are you using to help them to learn English better and quicker? 14 15 MS. MOISAN: To learn English 16 better and quicker. MEMBER DOUGLAS: Right. 17 Well, remember, they 18 MS. MOISAN: 19 are -- we are not doing this in isolation. 20 Even though we -- if we do pull out, we use the same standards, so we are using the same 21

NEAL R. GROSS

are using the same content.

present it differently. We may rewrite it. We may simplify it. We may act it out. We might use a lot of pictures.

But we are really -- if you think about this, you have a student who comes from another country. It's a fourth grade student. The student is a fourth grader in his or her country. So we keep them on the same content level. As far as content, they are good, but we just have to get them to learn the language piece. So when they get enough English, the communication really takes off with their peers.

Peer learning is a little bit more difficult. We do partner them in a lot of activities. But when you are learning a second language or a third language, sometimes we have third or fourth languages even being learned, we really focus on the English.

So we are not looking at taking on another language. I'm not really sure I understood your question completely. But if

NEAL R. GROSS

1	we're taking up Spanish, that is another
2	that would be another area that would be more
3	difficult.
4	And so we really focus our English
5	language learners just on learning English,
6	but we do partner them, as I say, and in the
7	classroom they are keeping up with, as much as
8	they can, with the content. Our job is to
9	just keep building that content through
LO	language. Does that help?
11	MEMBER DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you.
L2	Well, you really in a sense you did, but I
13	appreciate what you are sharing with me. But
L4	I would like to know, what is the data? So we
15	can speak on this later, because my time is
L 6	up.
L7	MS. MOISAN: Okay. Well
18	MEMBER DOUGLAS: And I can get
L9	back with you.
20	MS. MOISAN: Okay.
21	MEMBER DOUGLAS: But I wanted to
22	know, what are the what's the quality of

our Spanish teachers that are in the classroom? And I can see the percentage in that as well, to help these students. So that's another --

MS. MOISAN: We don't have that data, actually. I mean, we could get it for the District, but we have not had a second language, but, I mean, I -- like Spanish or we had Chinese at our school. We have had different languages, but they have been an after-school program.

So I do know that the bilingual programs work very well. I mean, there is data out there that you could get from the District that shows high success in these areas.

Maybe that would MS. MUHAWI: reflect on your question. Probably like when they are familiar with their own languages, area, they could switch content transfer that language from Spanish English, English to Spanish, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that helps a lot.
2	When they are maybe coming to our
3	country here, and they really fully prepared
4	in their own language on the third grade level
5	or fourth grade level, then they switch the
6	information, they switch the content,
7	especially in math.
8	So they do tend to do better in
9	math, and we do our daily assessments, you
10	know, like the portfolios and the testing and
11	the, you know, different instruments that the
12	teachers do design. And we have our own, you
13	know, like assessments, but not necessarily
14	the standardized testing that DCPS has
15	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
16	MS. MUHAWI: to support that.
17	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
18	very much.
19	MS. MUHAWI: Hopefully, that
20	answered your question.
21	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I would
22	like to thank our second panel for being here

1	this evening. Thank you very much for your
2	testimony and your presentations.
3	Mr. Sindram, you had one quick
4	comment?
5	MR. SINDRAM: I wanted to make you
6	aware, Mr. Chair, that the Commission on Human
7	Rights is meeting in the conference room,
8	1117, and I did want to meet with you offline.
9	So if we can connect at the conclusion
LO	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE:
11	Absolutely. After the meeting we can talk.
12	MR. SINDRAM: Yes. Yes, sir.
13	Thank you.
L 4	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: No
L5	problem. Thank you.
L6	MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you.
L7	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Okay.
18	Thank you.
L9	We will now move to our third
20	panel of the evening, which is Micki Suchenski
21	from Garrison Elementary School, Karen Wesley
22	from H.D. Cooke Elementary School, and Marvin

1	Tucker.
2	I hope I didn't mispronounce your
3	name.
4	MS. SUCHENSKI: No, you did a very
5	good job. And for the record, I work for the
6	Office of Bilingual Education, which is housed
7	at Garrison Elementary.
8	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Oh, okay.
9	I just have it listed here as Garrison
L ₀	Elementary School. Thank you for the
11	clarification.
12	MS. SUCHENSKI: Can you hear me
L3	okay?
L 4	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Yes. You
L5	may proceed.
L6	MS. SUCHENSKI: Okay. Good
L7	evening, members of the Board. I am
18	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Pull the
L9	microphone a little closer.
20	MS. SUCHENSKI: Pull it a little
21	bit closer? Okay. Is that better?
22	And thank you for inviting us to

provide testimony tonight on the WIDA English language proficiency standards.

My name is Micki Suchenski, and I 10-1/2teacher of ELLs in DCPS for was Currently, I am working for the Office of Bilingual Education for DCPS as an independent contractor. Part of mу responsibility serving in this capacity includes providing professional development for teachers on the WIDA ELP standards.

Tonight I will highlight my role as a professional developer working collaboratively with other teachers of ELLs, members of the WIDA consortium, and the Center for Applied Linguistics, CAL, one of the WIDA consortium's partners.

The ELP standards differ from the state academic content standards in that they focus on the language needed used by ELLs to succeed in school. They serve as a guide from which teachers can differentiate both instructional and assessment activities

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

according to their students' various English language proficiency levels.

DCPS became a member of the WIDA consortium in 2003. From that time on, our school district has benefitted tremendously from this membership. One of the benefits of membership in the WIDA consortium is the ongoing professional development they provide to member states.

example, in D.C., all teachers from both DCPS and the D.C. public schools, have received professional development on the WIDA ELP standards from the lead developer, Dr. Margo Gottlieb, at least several times during my tenure. She provided many -- many of the materials she helped to develop for these trainings became the basis for many of the follow-up trainings provided for teachers across а school district.

In addition, our office has also offered trainings for all teachers who serve

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ELLs on the WIDA ELP standards at both the local school level and through OBE's professional development course offerings.

According to our latest student fact sheet for school year 2009-2010, make up 9.3 percent of the total DCPS population, and they are spread out across the city and approximately 105 schools. holding training on these ELP standards has been very important, not only to the teachers of ELLs but to all teachers who serve them.

DCPS has benefitted by -- also by receiving technical assistance from CAL, one of WIDA's partners, with its trainings on the ACCESS for ELLs, the annual English language proficiency test given to all ELL students, and on the WAPT, the initial screener test for ELL students.

In addition, CAL has also provided assistance to our office with the ELL portfolio rating benchmark project in which teachers and colleagues from OBE have been

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

actively involved. As a result of this project, we produced binders of writing tasks and benchmark papers to assist teachers with scoring of student rating and creating their own writing tasks using the WIDA ELP standards.

Currently, we are conducting follow-up training at the local schools upon request, and we plan to facilitate more training in the future.

years of hard work have followed the introduction of the WIDA ELP standards. We have accomplished much, but still have more work to do, and we feel confident that an official adoption of WIDA ELP standards would provide the us continued and technical resources support necessary to continue its momentum.

Thank you for your time.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much for your testimony.

Ms. Wesley?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MS. WESLEY: Good evening, and
2	thank you for having me here tonight. Can you
3	hear me okay?
4	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Yes,
5	you're fine.
6	MS. WESLEY: I'm soft-spoken. As
7	a nine-year ESL teacher, I can attest to the
8	positive impact of using WIDA's English
9	language proficiency standards from pre-K to
10	12 in alignment with DCPS's learning
11	standards. WIDA's ELP standards do more than
12	assess English language development and
13	production. They also specify the types and
14	areas of language development most ELL
15	students encounter in the social/academic
16	environment of school.
17	This is critical to student
18	achievement and ESL educator accountability
19	and effectiveness. For example, the language
20	domains and the performance or can-do

NEAL R. GROSS

WIDA's

indicators better clarify an ELL student's

grade

level

progress.

21

22

clusters,

topics, and genres reflect the sets of language skills generally attained from early childhood through high school.

These standards are also directly aligned to the ACCESS language proficiency test. An ELL student portfolio does contain student work and tests that have been consistently graded and assessed. WIDA's formative and summative ELP standards enable ESL educators to better chart their students' development over the academic year.

four of addition, the standard areas cover the areas of language arts, science, mathematics, and social fifth studies. The standard covers instructional and social language, which encompasses how ELL students communicate, demonstrate, and make comprehensible connections. These are skills that all students need to achieve success.

All five ELP standards also provide ESL and mainstream teachers alike a

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	means of better understanding an ELL student's
2	language development, as well as aligning
3	pertinent language and content learning
4	standards. In all, no set of academic
5	standards are truly viable without effective
6	teaching and learning environments.
7	WIDA's ELP standards have helped
8	to increase that efficacy for me, and on a
9	larger scale they can help provide key ties
10	between ELD and academic content, which can
11	only benefit the ELL student.
12	Thank you.
13	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
14	Thank you very much.
15	Mr. Tucker, it's good to see you
16	again.
17	MR. TUCKER: Good evening to the
18	Board. And thank you, as a parent and a voter
19	in the District of Columbia. I'm glad to be
20	here, and I thank the Board for having this
21	opportunity to speak.
22	As a parent, to sit here and

listen to this -- and I understand that the Board hasn't adopted a lot of things. But there is a three-headed monster in the D.C. public school system. You're talking about ESL, special ed, and general education.

This Board and this city has failed the students of the District Columbia, because we still haven't come up with a standard that brings all three together to make us a whole entity. We can sit here and say that we are missing the boat on do miss certain things, and we the boat, because we have special ed students that have the same problems that ESL students have, even though they don't speak a foreign language. We haven't still found a way to help those special ed students.

So for me as a parent to see here and hear people that deal with ESL on a regular basis, the other side of that flip coin, you can fill this room up with children with special needs, that have the same

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

parents, that have the same teachers, that have the same problems that these folks at ESL have.

So if this Board is going to adopt a standard -- and I want to take you back into little FYI. We have had а seven superintendents -- Dr. Massie, Dr. Vance, Dr. White -- we have adopted the Massachusetts adopted all standards, we have of standards. We adopted the state standard now for D.C. Public Schools, so that our children can come up to par.

We are still failing, and let's be realistic. Ιt is stop playing time to politics with our children, and we are playing politics, because every year you will come up with a different standard to help a different student. So if this standard is good for ESL, why isn't it good for the general population? Then, what happens to the special that still doesn't student get the opportunities?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and I want to go back to something you said,
Mr. Biddle, if you are going to get federal
dollars, then let federal dollars be used in a
way that it best suits the students, not to
companies that end up in bankruptcy. And our
State Board and our State Superintendent's
office have failed us.

So, and from looking at it from a parent standpoint, I want to see every student get the opportunity to learn English. And, Mr. Lockridge, you are right, because we are in an age now where if you don't speak Spanish, and you've got a Spanish customer, then you have to -- that Spanish customer now goes out the door.

So, technically, we now as a parent, parent body, because the D.C. Coaches Association uses something that nobody else understands, we are using sports and the arts to combat illiteracy. And in our game, we use the Model School for the Deaf, who plays. You

NEAL R. GROSS

1	couldn't tell me if those kids didn't know
2	what the count was. They play just like every
3	student other student, and they
4	participated.
5	So why can't we find one common
6	goal to meet this three-headed monster that we
7	have and that you are trying to come together
8	with?
9	Thank you.
10	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Mr.
11	Tucker, I sincerely appreciate your comments.
12	And, as always, it is good to see you.
13	You're a week early, though, because we I
14	want to say we it's not that we are
15	ignoring the subject matter that you are
16	discussing. We are going to have a briefing
17	next week
18	MR. TUCKER: Sure.
19	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: on
	what's going on on special education. So I
20	what's going on on special education. So i

MR.

TUCKER: Well, I just think

1	it's I just think it's part of what you are
2	trying to do, because you can't separate the
3	two or the three.
4	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Right. I
5	understand.
6	MR. TUCKER: Because you are
7	talking about ESL, sure, you are talking about
8	students that want to learn the English
9	language. But you also have to say to those
LO	students, "Can you put the dollars behind them
11	to get to where these people are trying to get
12	to?"
13	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Right.
L4	MR. TUCKER: And in a time of
15	crisis -
L6	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: That's why
L7	I want to make sure that you come back next
18	week
L9	MR. TUCKER: Sure.
20	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: so you
21	will hear what we're doing
22	MR. TUCKER: Sure.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: -- in that realm as well.

ask you, Ms. Suchenski, Let me from a practical standpoint, let us understand said you do professional -- because you development. About how many hours, days, do you go out to the schools and do this one on one, or in groups? Or do the people come to Just from a practical standpoint, start us off with how your work actually gets accomplished.

MS. SUCHENSKI: Sure. And I recently transitioned from working full-time to now doing contractual work. But the work that I have been involved with this year has been focused on working on this English language learner portfolio writing benchmark project, which Karen has been a member of, and also Deborah Maatta.

We have a group of teachers that came together for a whole year last year, and participated in developing these writing

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

tasks, and then we disseminated these writing tasks to students, to all of the ELL students in the city, and then we came back together and developed these benchmark papers. And that was the project last year.

This year we are implementing it, and are providing training. So, example, last week I was at CHEC, the Columbia Heights Educational Campus, two days a week providing training for the teachers there on this project and developing for assessments that they can use English language learners.

This week, at the end of this week, I will be going to Wilson High School. So there are a number of different -- we sometimes offer courses for teachers. I am also teaching a course, as well as my colleague, Deborah Maatta, who also testified on designing special -- it is a course called SDAIE, Specially Designed Academic Instruction of English, which I am offering at Garrison

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Elementary.
2	And that is available to all
3	teachers who serve English language learners.
4	They can be a general ed teacher, they can be
5	a special ed teacher. If there are ELI
6	students in their school, they are welcome to
7	take those classes.
8	So I do both. I go out to local
9	schools and also
10	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Okay.
11	That's good to know. I mean, a number of the
12	panelists this evening and I think if you
13	ever hear the discussions going on around the
14	State Board on a normal level, you will hear
15	the need for more professional development.
16	Everyone is crying out, "We need more
17	professional development."
18	When you go to Wilson next week,
19	about how many hours will you actually be able
20	to spend with the teachers?

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. SUCHENSKI: It is actually --

this week is --

21

1	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: And is
2	that taking them away from t heir own
3	classrooms, so that their students
4	MS. SUCHENSKI: No, this
5	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: are
6	deprived of their or is this after school,
7	before school? You know, I mean, how does it
8	work?
9	MS. SUCHENSKI: This Friday is one
10	of those job-embedded professional development
11	days.
12	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Oh, this
13	Friday.
14	MS. SUCHENSKI: Right, right.
15	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Okay. I
16	thought you said next week.
17	MS. SUCHENSKI: So this Friday
18	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I know
19	this Friday there is no school. Yes, so it's
20	
21	MS. SUCHENSKI: And then, in the
22	past, like other teachers have said, we use

1	the morning collaborative time. So it but
2	it would really be helpful to have more time
3	witha lot of the schools do want the
4	professional development and they are limited
5	by that school day or those special job-
6	embedded professional development days.
7	But we usually get requests from
8	the teachers or principals, and then sometimes
9	they have us come after school hours also
10	during their faculty meetings.
11	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: All right.
12	Thank you. Thank you very much.
13	MS. SUCHENSKI: You're welcome.
14	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Questions
15	from the members of the State Board? Ms.
16	Douglas, and then Ms. Lord.
17	MEMBER DOUGLAS: Yes. I was
18	hearing you speaking on special development.
19	I can recall when special development was an
20	automatic thing to help the teachers to help
21	with their classroom in the past. So I think

that needs to be implemented again, along with

the special needs, like Mr. Tucker has mentioned.

And we do not want to leave out special needs, because we have so many that are special needs that we really don't -- we still have not identified all of them. And so we need to be really careful, because those two need to be on the same level as the other and be treated fairly. So we don't want to lose that.

And I'm glad to hear that you all have -- that you are having some training for our teachers, but I know Title I, which would be Chapter 1, they have that as an ongoing process.

So you also need to have special training for our parents as well, and that is important. And we are leaving that out. I know we are speaking a lot about the ELL and others, but have -- what have -- have you implemented a partnership or engaged a parent's involvement with this process? And

NEAL R. GROSS

that is one of the things that I have not heard from either group that spoke on that, and that's really important.

Can I get an answer from someone, please?

MS. WESLEY: I can only speak for what we do at H.D. Cooke. Ever since we went back to the mainstream ESL model, we have been trying to use Family Nights as a way to get more parental involvement, because we have such a high ELL population. And within that ELL population, we have a high SPED population as well. So the two issues for us do come together. They really do.

Family Night as a means to get parents more involved in the process of understanding what the standards are and understanding what issues their children have to face, but at the same time letting them know that, as parents, they are the first teachers, and that we all have to work together to ensure the greater

NEAL R. GROSS

student achievement for everybody. We are all in it together.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you. Glad to hear that.

MS. SUCHENSKI: I quess Ι can speak. I am no longer in the classroom, but when I was the nice thing about having the ELP standards -- and I think you asked an earlier question about how do we assess or evaluate students in their English language proficiency.

The ACCESS for ELLs is the annual state test -- the annual test that is given to all ELLs every year, and there are results from that test that are given directly to the parents. And we also keep portfolios for the students, so we are able to give the parents and talk to the parents, usually at parentteacher conferences but also whenever -- as teachers, when we meet with parents and want to talk with them, we can use some of the data from those -- from that specific test, and

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	also	samples
2		I

I mean, I have been involved also on working -- developing formative assessments that teachers can use with these ELP standards. But it is nice that we are able to have this data that is directly aligned to the ELP standards to share with the parents as well.

I also agree, I think, you know, as a district as a whole, we need to do a better job as getting -- in getting parents involved in our students' education.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much.

Ms. Lord?

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you. You answered my question. Thank you.

MEMBER LORD: Thank you. Ms. Wesley, you said in your testimony that these are skills all students need to achieve success. So I would like to ask both you former and current classroom teachers, is

NEAL R. GROSS

1	there much overlap with professional
2	development and content teachers? Or is this
3	even something that is envisioned?
4	And then, my second question is,
5	really, English language learners or immigrant
6	populations tend to be fairly transient. Is
7	there something we should be thinking about in
8	the future that would support those students
9	who may go from school to school and not
10	may be in your classroom half a year and your
11	classroom half a year?
12	MS. SUCHENSKI: I can answer the
13	second question. The first thing that came to
14	mind was affordable housing, so they don't
15	move across the city. I think that's an
16	easier question.
17	But the first question that you
18	are talking about, can you, again, just sort
19	of articulate a little bit more about the
20	question?
21	MEMBER LORD: It was really
22	talking to Mr. Tucker's point and Ms. Wesley's

point about how these are the skills that all students need to achieve success, so we are measuring them against proficiency, against comprehension, against writing. And it occurred to and it has me, come up conversations before, that these are the skills that all students can benefit from.

So is there much conversation about professional development for both regular and ELL teachers? Or is it going on informally, for example, in H.D. Cooke?

H.D. Cooke, MS. WESLEY: Αt fact, recently had а professional we development day where the teams -- the ESL teachers and the content area teachers -- met together to better align the lesson planning for all of the students, because we -- we have a combination of push and pull-out, but we do more inclusion than pull-out.

So, therefore, as ESL teachers, we are able to utilize the standards and expose all of the students, regardless of their

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

strengths and weaknesses, to this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. SUCHENSKI: And I would say I definitely think there is overlap. And in the professional development that I have done, always there has been а mixture of teachers, special ed teachers, general ed teachers. And when I was at CHEC last week, in fact, it was a mixture of these teachers.

And the general ed teachers, who were the English language arts teachers, were talking about how they saw a need for all developing students to be the academic But, really, the ELP standards is language. talking the academic language about that students need to be successful in school.

And I actually was at one of the Race to the Top assessment meetings in Denver. This one was geared specifically towards ELLs, and that whole discussion was about how all of our students are deficient in the academic language. And so I think that there is this overlap, because we are all working

NEAL R. GROSS

1	towards that same goal.
2	And I have heard a number of Board
3	members talk about that tonight, and that is a
4	big component of the WIDA ELP standards and
5	the testing that goes with it, is that we
6	we want to make sure that all our students
7	will be successful in school and be able to
8	develop that language and those skills that
9	will ensure success.
10	So, yes, I do see a lot of overlap
11	there.
12	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
13	Thank you very much.
14	Mr. Lockridge?
15	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Do you support
16	the standards that we have before us for
17	approval, for the Board to approve tonight?
18	Do you support them? And, if not, what part
19	of the standards do you think that the Board
20	needs to take a closer look at?
21	And the second part of my question

is that $\operatorname{--}$ do you have an idea, a ballpark

idea, of what it would cost to implement a standard -- if it's approved, the standards that we have before us? And if you just know the part in teacher training or development, then let -- you know, let me know what that cost might be in your mind, and what it would really take to move these standards to where -- so when the kids graduate they have met the standards and the terms of -- and that is in terms of what the cost would be.

We could -- there are a lot of programs that we vote for, a lot of standards. But when it gets down -- when the rubber hits the road, is it, do we have the finances and the funds available to make the standards work? So, basically, that is the second part of my question.

I don't have an idea of what this would cost, and the State Board we -- even though the standards come to us, most of the time they come to us without a budget of what they think it would cost. And we pass it, and

NEAL R. GROSS

we move on. We don't even know if it can be implemented, because we don't know what the implications -- the cost implications are.

And then, we really -- at the end of the day, I don't know how we measure the success of the program other than the test scores. We can't measure them as it is moving in real time, to go back and say, "We need to revisit a standard," something in the standard that it for some reason is not working or needs to be tweaked. And so we don't have that in place.

I think those are important to me, because I can see, as we move along, have we made, like you said before, the benchmarks that we're looking for realistically. And does the system have the money to support it?

MS. SUCHENSKI: Okay. To answer your first question, I wholeheartedly think that we should adopt these standards. Like I said in my testimony, we have gained a lot of momentum. We have -- they have been in place

NEAL R. GROSS

actively since 2004. We became a member of the consortium in 2003. We have a lot of benefits.

I curtailed a little bit of my testimony to fit the time, but we have had a number of professional development workshops done by WIDA consortium members, I mean, especially having the lead developer do a lot of the professional development was wonderful for our teachers. And we would like to have more of that and more benefit from being a part of the consortium.

One of CAL one of the consortium's partners is for the Center Applied Linguistics, which is here in D.C. have also benefitted tremendously, because they are the ones that develop the ACCESS for ELLs aligned with test, which is standards. And so they have also been able to give us a lot of technical assistance.

And Karen is sitting right next to me. She was a part of this portfolio working

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

124 1 group that met all year, and we had members of 2 CAL that helped to provide technical 3 assistance to us. also feel like teachers 4 been -- they have received training in these 5 6 standards already, and we are working with teachers to use these standards in tandem with 7 the academic content standards. They are 8 standards that do have different purposes. 9 I think if we were not to adopt 10 ELPstandards that would be 11 а travesty,

I think if we were not to adopt ELP standards that would be a travesty, because the ELP standards are helping us to meet the needs of our English language learners who are trying to attain the academic content.

So I wholeheartedly endorse these ELP standards, and I hope the Board will consider them seriously.

The second question, I can't give you a cost. I will have to get back to you on that. That's my -- my focus is professional development, but I am sure someone that is a

NEAL R. GROSS

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	part of a consortium
2	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Part of that
3	question was, if you couldn't answer the
4	bigger question, could you answer the
5	professional development piece.
6	MS. SUCHENSKI: Okay.
7	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: What it would
8	cost.
9	MS. SUCHENSKI: What it would
10	cost?
11	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: To provide the
12	professional development needed to make these
13	standards work, to help these standards work.
14	MS. SUCHENSKI: Cost? Do you mean
15	financial?
16	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Right. For
17	professional development only.
18	MS. SUCHENSKI: Part of what I
19	know is that part of being a member of a
20	consortium, we are given professional
21	development for free. There is a certain
22	amount of days that are

1	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Yes. But we
2	talked I think the gentleman was here at
3	our last meeting on
4	MS. SUCHENSKI: I wasn't here at
5	the other
6	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: so he did
7	kind of hit on hit on the fact that, beyond
8	a certain point, you know, because your funds
9	run out so that might be what you have, but
10	what is needed is what I'm reaching for.
11	MS. SUCHENSKI: I would have to
12	get back to you on that.
13	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Okay. Thank
14	you.
15	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
16	very much. I think our last question is from
17	Mr. Biddle.
18	MEMBER BIDDLE: I apologize in
19	advance. I am going to cut each of you off,
20	because I am going to try to get to each of
21	you. So apologies when I cut you off.
22	I want to start with Ms. Wesley.

1	I would be curious, real quickly, what has
2	been the best or most high-impact professional
3	development or support you received on these
4	standards?
5	MS. WESLEY: Understanding how the
6	standards can go across the content areas,
7	because one major problem that ELL students
8	have is not just speaking the English
9	language, it is social language, it is
10	academic language, and within academic
11	language each subject area has its own jargon
12	and its own lingo, which is difficult for
13	anyone learning a different language to pick
14	up.
15	The technical language is always
16	harder. These standards help to align that
17	language with the various content areas.
18	MEMBER BIDDLE: Great. Thank you.
19	And you were within your time.
20	Ms. Suchenski, on the professional
21	development that you provide to teachers, and
22	just in general, I would be curious, how are

1	teachers able to provide feedback to you on
2	their experience or whether or not they felt
3	like the professional development met their
4	needs?
5	MS. SUCHENSKI: We always have
6	evaluations in place, and now there are
7	courses that are on PD planners, a new forum
8	by which
9	MEMBER BIDDLE: Okay.
10	MS. SUCHENSKI: and so there
11	are automatic evaluations that are given to
12	us.
13	MEMBER BIDDLE: And sort of to
14	piggyback on Mr. Lockridge's thoughts, is your
15	sense from the feedback you received that
16	teachers feel like they are getting enough
17	professional development?
18	MS. SUCHENSKI: I think they are
19	always saying, "We would like more." And we
20	have been trying to do more. And the
21	frustrating thing is that so, for example,
22	there are some days that are the job-embedded

professional development days that are -- that are just devoted to the framework or the impact evaluation assessment system.

And so we are not able to touch those certain days when they have the professional development days. But we are trying to find ways built into the school day, through the collaborative meeting time, after school faculty meetings, and --

MEMBER BIDDLE: Thank you.

And now, Mr. Tucker, I heard you say something that I thought was interesting and important, because I -- I made this point earlier to the previous panel, which was it is clear to me that when we look at the ELP standards and, you know, what we talk about in special education, that they are not so distinct as we tend to separate them out.

So while we are having a conversation today about ELP standards, and then we will have a conversation next week about special education, and, in fact, in a

NEAL R. GROSS

working session we had last week with Superintendent's staff about special education, one of the things that came up was our ability to improve the quality of special provide children, education to we directly impact our ability to provider higher quality education to all the children in the city, because right now special ed essentially is bleeding resources away from general education across the city.

I would be curious -- how do we -what would be your suggestion on how we keep
in front of people that special education,
English language learners, and regular
education are all connected and we need to
keep people clear on the fact that they are?

Well, they are. MR. TUCKER: And, in fact, I used the D.C. Coaches' All City Bowl Game, because even in that have we speaking bilingual, Spanish students that actually place kick, Model School for the Deaf, general education students. And for one

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

week we saw how they got along. So in that one program alone, we saw how it can work if you are bringing them under one standard, with the help that they need.

But it goes back to the financial part that you and Mr. Lockridge have just brought up. If the special education is bleeding this city, then how can you have the money to do ESL or general ed, when we know that the budget cuts and the city being \$850 million in debt, and it is steady growing. So where do we get the money?

And the money comes from federal grants, but if that money is not monitored in a correct data, then you don't have the money to give professional development, because even general ed teachers now complain about not having enough professional development to get to where they need, and even the special ed people.

So when you look at your question, it's two-fold. How do you bring all three

NEAL R. GROSS

1	together? And the only way you can do that is
2	have the kind of program and its standard that
3	deals with every part of what we are dealing
4	with here in this city. And right now we are
5	still divided.
6	MEMBER BIDDLE: Thank you very
7	much.
8	And thank you for letting me run
9	over a minute.
10	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: No problem
11	at all. I think we have one more question
12	from Ms. Slover.
13	MEMBER SLOVER: Thank you. Thank
14	you all for being here.
15	You know, I asked a question of
16	the last panel about the additional tools and
17	resources that are made possible, or more
18	possible, when you have a consortium across
19	states in particular, and you all answered
20	that question before I even got to ask you.
21	You talked particularly about
22	professional development and a little bit

2	which leads me really as a segue into my
3	second question, which is thinking about
4	assessment and what it means to really assess
5	for understanding and to assess students in a
6	subject area, but who have, you know,
7	limitations around language.
8	What are the implications for
9	assessment? They take the DC CAS, they take
10	the DC BAS. Are there other things that we
11	should be thinking about as a state regarding
12	assessment to make to really make sure that
13	those measures are accurately assessing and
14	measuring student understanding?
15	MS. SUCHENSKI: I'm glad you asked
16	that question.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MEMBER SLOVER: It's my favorite
19	subject.
20	MS. SUCHENSKI: Yes.
21	MEMBER SLOVER: So I had to ask.
22	MS. SUCHENSKI: Mine, too. We are

about the CAL center that produces the ACCESS,

working -- part of the project that I have been involved with, and other colleagues, other teachers, other colleagues at OBE, has been in developing these formative writing assessments that are grounded in the different ELP standards, which address a different content. Like Karen was saying, it's social -- the language of social studies. We say the language of language arts, the language of science, the language of math.

So, in fact, the training that we were doing at CHEC last week was working with teachers to develop some of those writing assessments and using a writing evaluation rubric that assesses -- although the tasks are aligned to their -- aligned to the ELP standards and academic content standards, so a task might be addressing the language of social studies, they are looking at it through the lens of a language proficiency evaluation rubric.

And so I think the things that we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

have in place are the standardized annual tests that we give once a year. The things that we need I believe are some of the things that — the WIDA consortium is involved in a project called the WIDA FLARE project, which is, certain states have adopted — and I would love — it's not yet available to elementary schools, but it is working with teachers to develop formative assessments.

I amа strong advocate of portfolios. I have worked with our district office and our to own portfolios, and these the WIDA FLARE project is a project that empowers teachers to create their own assessments, because those once-a-year assessments, like the DC CAS, and even our ACCESS for ELLs, gives us knowledge of how that student is doing one time during And need more formative the year. we assessments.

The DC BAS, in my opinion, is also -- it's an experimental test. It's not a

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	test that a teacher is using, that they are
2	creating, that is embedded in their own
3	curriculum. It is not authentic assessment,
4	and I feel like we are we need more support
5	with that. And I would love to see our
6	district adopt something like the WIDA FLARE
7	project, which is available right now.
8	Does that answer your question?
9	And developing more formative types of
10	assessment that could be standardized.
11	MEMBER SLOVER: That was very
12	helpful, and I'm sorry that I ran out of time.
13	But I would be happy to follow-up more on
14	this. That was very helpful.
15	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: We have a
16	second round, Ms. Slover, so we might allow
17	you to follow-up a little bit. But I'll go to
18	Mr. Lockridge first on the second round.
19	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: On professional
20	development, has the charter school system and
21	basically, CAL had the contract with D.C.

Public Schools, am I correct?

1	MS. SUCHENSKI: CAL is a partner
2	of WIDA.
3	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Of WIDA
4	MS. SUCHENSKI: Right.
5	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: And they
6	jointly had a contract with the system, with
7	D.C. Public Schools, or did or who did they
8	have the contract with?
9	MS. SUCHENSKI: They had a partner
LO	they were providing us technical assistance
11	
L2	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Yes. They were
13	providing us technical assistance. We weren't
L4	paying
15	MS. SUCHENSKI: I'm not quite sure
L6	what their relationship
L7	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: But my question
18	is this: has the school system been helpful
L9	in with the professional development in
20	terms of allowing access to the program for
21	special for the teachers' professional
2	development?

1	MS. SUCHENSKI: Has the school
2	district been helpful?
3	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: You are working
4	for who?
5	MS. SUCHENSKI: I am working for
6	the school district, as an independent
7	contractor now.
8	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Right.
9	MS. SUCHENSKI: For the Office of
10	Bilingual Education.
11	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: So when you go
12	do a professional when the professional
13	development is being done, this is being done
14	through the school system? I'm saying, are
15	they providing you with enough time? You just
16	said earlier that on the regular professional
17	development days those are days that you
18	normally can't access, you have to access
19	additional days. Am I correct? Is that what
20	you were saying?
21	MS. SUCHENSKI: Yes.
22	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: So I am

MS. SUCHENSKI: Some of the professional development days have been strictly allotted for the impact and the new framework.

MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: So how much time is allotted -- the time that they allot for you is not -- you would like to have more time. Have you suggested to them more time is requested?

MS. SUCHENSKI: Yes.

MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: And have they been helpful in trying to provide you with the additional time?

MS. SUCHENSKI: Yes. A lot of the professional development that we do through the Office of Bilingual Education is after also made school, but we have in-roads, especially upon principals' requests or other -- a group of teachers at a school, like at CHEC, and so we went during their morning collaboration time, which was like consecutive mornings.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So they are trying to find -- you know, working within the school system, they are trying to find time for us to do professional development.

MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: The reason why I'm asking is this. You know, I have been on the Board for -- this is my eleventh year. And we have -- the Board of Education was a Board of Education. We had book companies that we voted on contracts And I was opposed to some of those companies. because contracts, some of those book companies had contracts with us for 15 to 20 years, and our kids still couldn't read.

And in their contract, they had contracts that had -- that they had to provide professional development days in the contract.

And sometimes this -- one of their excuses was, "Well, we couldn't find -- the school system wouldn't work with us in providing the time enough that we needed." So that's why I'm asking the question.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. SUCHENSKI: Oh, no, no.

MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Because I don't want to, you know, something -- and we ask a question later, how did we get here? And you didn't -- even though we put standards in place, we didn't move very far. And there are some reasons why we didn't move very far, and here are some of the reasons why.

And so a lot of the reasons that people have used in the past is professional development, if professional and SO development is lacking that means the program overall is going -- not going to have the same positive impact that it would have, if the professional development is divided -- I mean, provided in a way that was enough professional development teachers for those to feel comfortable with what they are teaching and receiving it.

Based on what you -- what the two organizations you are -- what their standards are suggesting that we do, standards are

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

standard. Kids can learn. If teachers can teach what kids should learn, and they are not prepared, then the kids are not going to be prepared.

That is the reason that these book companies used. I am trying to find -- I don't want to hear that again, basically coming from anybody that is providing some academic services to D.C. public kids.

So the question was: do you think that -- and I think you answered that earlier -- that all teachers say that they need more professional development? And if that's what teachers are saying, that is where the rubber hits the road, and that is where learning takes place. Then, if the teachers feel it is inadequate, then it is going to be inadequate.

And so I'm saying, does the system provide you enough time, or have you worked with them -- are they working with you to provide enough time for professional development at the end of the day?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. SUCHENSKI: I think an
2	official adoption of these particular ELP
3	standards would create more opportunities for
4	professional development on them, because what
5	has happened is the academic content standards
6	and the DC CAS has taken priority in most of
7	the schools. And what happens is
8	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: That's right.
9	But at the end of the day, when we talked
10	about assessments, then the students that
11	the English as a second language students,
12	they are not U.S everybody that has came
13	here said that they are not being that the
14	standards would help them in the assessments.
15	So at the end of the day, if they
16	have professional development that is being
17	provided, then it is going to help them with
18	the bottom line. And that is the test through
19	the teaching.
20	So, you know, they go hand-in-

think that because we are going to provide the

You can't separate them and say you

hand.

21

standards that that would move the system, both systems, the charter schools as well as D.C. public schools, in a way that they would provide.

That is not no guarantee, and it is not even a guarantee that they have enough money to be able to do the things in the standards -- that the academic piece is in the standard.

So there is two problems in my mind that even though we pass these standards that are going to still exist. One is the proper time for professional development to help move these standards along. And second is, can you fund them?

That's the bottom line. It goes back to what Mr. Tucker said with special education. The bus system runs about \$75 million. If you could do the transportation piece alone, you would have the money to help support some other things. And so we are in a situation now where we might not even have

NEAL R. GROSS

funds enough to cover programs that already exist.

So just passing a set of standards and then saying "you think" -- I mean, I didn't ignore you for saying that, but I'm saying say what you need. Then, at the end of the day, even -- you know, I know who our employee is -- but at the end of the day you are supporting the kids. That's who we are supposed to be supporting.

So, no, this is -- that time is not -- that time is inadequate. What can the state do to help us push that? Nobody will stand up for kids to that level. You sat here and said it, but you won't take it one step further and say it publicly that this is not enough, we need to add more, and possibly there is going to be an additional cost and possibly what it is.

MS. SUCHENSKI: We are going to get back to you about the cost, but I am just personally speaking -- I mean, I have to

NEAL R. GROSS

1	separate myself
2	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: I understand.
3	I understand.
4	MS. SUCHENSKI: out from
5	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: But if I was
6	sitting where you are sitting, I'd say, "I
7	need X amount of professional development for
8	my program. I'm not currently getting that.
9	We might be working towards it, but we're not
10	there yet." I mean, I don't want to put words
11	in your mouth, but, you know, that's what
12	MS. SUCHENSKI: I think that
13	that's how people feel. I mean, I have taught
14	in the school system for 10 and a half years.
15	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Who is
16	MS. SUCHENSKI: I think we have
17	always felt like that as teachers, you know,
18	we could always benefit more from professional
19	development. And now
20	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Maybe you could
21	get some grant money from the state, you know.
22	The Superintendent is right here. I can't

approve it, but I would if I had the power to,
I would make sure that some of these grants
came through and devoted -- or even the Race
to the Top money, you know, the meeting -- you
have to come to those meetings and push for
that, even though as a citizen -- do you live
in the District?

MS. SUCHENSKI: Yes, I do.

MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Okay. Then do it as a citizen, and then it benefits you on both sides. But I'm just saying, we know that that's not enough. And if I pass a standard, I'm just not sitting here passing the standard because it is the right thing to do. I want to see it implemented, and I want it to work.

So anything that makes it work is what I want to know, how we can make it work, not that it's just good. I want to -- I'm not jumping on you. I'm just being -- you know, I'm --

NEAL R. GROSS

1	an official adoption would also increase
2	sort of the validity of these standards and
3	would increase the opportunities for
4	professional development, and also to work in
5	tandem with the other sets of standards that
6	have been adopted and create more professional
7	development opportunities for working across
8	working with special ed folks, ELL folks,
9	general ed, all together, working towards the
10	same goal.
11	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: I hope your
12	MS. SUCHENSKI: Personally
13	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: crystal ball
14	is better than the one I am looking in,
15	because my crystal ball don't say that. But I
16	am going to be faithful like you are and look
17	in your crystal ball. But, you know, my
18	crystal ball says something different, but I
19	hear what you're saying and I appreciate it.
20	Thank you for coming, and you were
21	very helpful.

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. SUCHENSKI: Thank you.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: I would like to thank the members of the panel very, very much, for your time tonight, for your testimony, and for the information. We do look forward to you getting back to us if you have some more thoughts and ideas.

are not scheduled to take a vote on this until February 17th, so you do have a little bit of time to respond to some of Mr. Lockridge's questions, because I think they are very valid. And I think a number of here did the members ask about the professional development piece, which is critical to the implementation of all of this.

Thank you very, very much.

At this point, we have no further so I will move witnesses, on to closing comments from members of the State Board. think -- oh, the panel, yes, is excused. Briggs. Thank you very much, Dr. Wouldn't unnecessarily any to keep you here longer.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I think the last time we did this we went in order from Ward 1 through 8. Let's do it even and odd this time. We'll go Wards 2, 4, 6, and then 1, 3, and 5. Okay? That puts you on, Ms. Lord, for two minutes.

MEMBER LORD: Thank you very much. Thanks to all of the witnesses, particularly the teachers who came down to testify. I know it's late, and there are a lot of things you could be doing, grading papers, developing new lessons. And thanks to all of the people who are listening at home.

Standards and discussion of standards can probably seem like a lot of inside baseball. We throw around acronyms, we "professional throw around terms like development," which teacher is training essentially. But for me as a policymaker, it gives us a wonderful opportunity and a window on what is going on in classrooms, and to examine some of the issues that our teachers students and our communities and our

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

grappling with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

For example, I dare say that anybody playing baseball Stoddert on Elementary's field or dropping by on their -using their wonderful playground could imagine that а third of the students in that elementary school are English language their English learners. is not language, and they are not very proficient in That puts an enormous challenge on your traditional elementary school teacher.

And, similarly, the wealth of students who speak another language to me speaks of the wealth, the opportunities that exist around discussions of English language learning, to bring those families into our classrooms to have our students aspire as English language speakers, to learn a foreign language, to learn more about a culture.

I think tonight the most -- the happiest word I heard was "collaboration," where the content teachers and language

NEAL R. GROSS

teachers for the English language learners are working together. They are looking at, what is the language of science? What is the language of math?

You can't really master math without mastering the terminology. If any of you have helped a third grader with homework, you know it's not really a math problem. It's understanding what the language, the English says, before you can get to the math.

And I would like to, as we work our way through these standards and discussions of standards, is to figure out, how do we devulcanize our education systems? Because right now we are talking about English language learning standards over here, but it is very clear that they apply to special ed students and to regular students as well.

And how do we create a forum so that teachers and learners and the specialized content people can all come together and say, "You know what? This is really a good method

of instruction. We are not going to call it English language learning instruction. We are not going to call it math instruction. We are going to call it good instruction."

So thank you very much for being here with us. I look forward to next week's discussion on special education. And with that, I will sign off.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much.

Now we will have the representative from Ward 4, Sekou Biddle.

Thank you. MEMBER BIDDLE: I'm going hit different to on three things quickly. One is Ι want recognize, to obviously, a lot of people have probably read and heard about in the news today the tragic events that have taken place in Haiti. would like to encourage people to get involved and find some way to support the communities that are struggling with the natural disaster in Haiti.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But I would like to offer a word of caution. Unfortunately, whenever events like this take place, two things happen. Inevitably, people find ways to support those who are in trouble, and, inevitably, some people somewhere get it in their heads that this is a great opportunity to essentially further victimize people who are trying to support those in need.

So if you choose to get involved and support what is going on in Haiti, please take the extra minute or two to make sure the organization that you are reaching out to or working with is a reputable organization. And I don't think it's appropriate for me to endorse or recognize any of those right now, so I just want to ask you to spend the extra time to do that.

I want to recognize a moment of progress, which is -- it occurs to me that this week in D.C. Public Schools they are taking the DC BAS. And for all of the

NEAL R. GROSS

challenges we have had in the District for years, I think that the administration of DC BAS, to me, represents a significant step forward in that years ago we didn't have assessments that were a link to our standards.

Now we have an assessment system that is not only linked to our standards, but also a series of benchmark assessments that are used throughout the year, perhaps baby steps, but certainly steps in the direction of making sure we have an educational system that is designed to support our teachers and supporting our children's success.

And then, I want to follow-up on the dialogue between Mr. Lockridge and Ms. Suchenski, because I think that there is some — there is an important nugget there, and that is that we oftentimes are asking the wrong questions about how we make sure the schools work and the students learn.

We oftentimes start from the basic

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

understanding that we have a certain level of resources, and we are going to try to figure out, what can we do with these? I think the question is actually, what will it take for all children to succeed in school? And from there, we need to determine, why don't we have those resources available and how do we get

I think it's important for us to put a stake in the ground on that issue that we need to be willing to either decide that we are or are not going to provide the resources that children need to be successful, because if we are not, quite frankly, we are wasting a lot of people's time. And then, if we are, we are going to have to make some hard decisions around, how do we marshal those resources to make them available for our children and for our teachers that serve our children.

So with that, I will say good evening and thanks to everybody for coming. I look forward to next week's meeting.

NEAL R. GROSS

them.

1	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
2	very much, Mr. Biddle.
3	We'll move on to our
4	representative from Ward 8, Mr. Lockridge.
5	MEMBER LOCKRIDGE: Thank you. Mr.
6	Biddle covered just about what I was thinking
7	about saying earlier
8	(Laughter.)
9	in terms of the Haitian
10	situation, and also, you know, we have to
11	really put our finger on, what does it cost to
12	educate a child in the District of Columbia?
13	And once we get our arms around
14	the cost, I mean, not what we think it costs
15	or what we what the budget will bear, but
16	really what it costs, and to put if we want
17	to see a change, a reform in education, a lot
18	of people say it's not about the dollar, but
19	in some districts it is about the dollar. And
20	in this district it is.
21	And we waste a lot of money in the
22	District of Columbia, to the tune of we

talked about special education. Mr. Tucker was talking about when you spend \$75 million on bus -- on transportation, I could transport the whole school system within the District of Columbia for that kind of money. And so it's about really restructuring education.

And the competition in education, to me, it shouldn't be a competition. And the difference between a charter school and D.C. public schools, I thought all schools was created equal, and that we shouldn't be here competing about who -- what is the best school. All of them should be good.

And if we really look at a plan on what it would cost to educate a student in the District of Columbia, and include both segments, and where there is duplicity in terms of education we really need to take a hard look at that, because there is no need in funding a charter school that is a duplicate to a D.C. public school. The funds would be better spent another way.

NEAL R. GROSS

But we are politicians, and those of us who reach for power, sometimes we skip over what the real reality of what -- the purpose that we're here for, and that's the kids. And we have done a lot of skipping around here, and it's time for us to really, really focus on what it takes to educate a kid and look at all of the different aspects of educational reform and make them work together.

If we can build an Atom bomb, brand-new car that talks to you and tell you which way to go park themselves, I know we can solve a problem that -- to educate our kids in a sensible way, because the way we are headed now is not the sensible way, and it is not the best way. And we are America. We are -- and I brought up one other thing.

The reason I talked about Spanish being taught in China, English is -- you've got to -- every school district, every province in China, has to teach English. Has

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	to. And that's the way it should be with
2	Spanish, since the country is changing in
3	terms of its demographics, and we have to be
4	able to change with them.
5	And then, a third language, once
6	you reach a certain grade level, we and I
7	think we passed a three-year standard, and we
8	can still have that third and just our
9	students would be speaking three languages
10	instead of one. And we'll be competitive
11	nationally, and we'll be back getting back
12	to building a United States that was the
13	greatest and it can continue to be, but we
14	can't be arguing about what is the best way
15	for us to educate our kids.
16	Thank you.
17	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you,
18	Mr. Lockridge.
19	We'll move on now to Ward 1.
20	Dotti Love Wade.
21	MEMBER WADE: Good evening. I

feel compelled this evening to go off point

and to address three different points. one, of is to course, express sentiment, my prayers for the people of Haiti, families that are here in the United States of those citizens of Haiti. Also, the citizens of the United States that are currently in Haiti, and those people around the world who are visiting.

I would ask that each of you dig deep in your pockets, as best you can, to make whatever contribution you can to the effort to assist the devastated people of Haiti.

Secondly, I would like to, in the same vein, remind everyone that on Monday we will be celebrating the birthday of Dr. Martin Luther King. And in that vein, we would like to encourage you to all go out and volunteer in some way to help your fellow citizens. There is a great need in this city, and there is a great need in this country.

And while we are talking about educating our students, one of the best

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

lessons we can teach them is empathy and compassion. And we need to teach them how to reach out to people who are less fortunate than they are, or who may be more fortunate but need your specific assistance at a given time.

And, thirdly, I would like to encourage everyone to please participate in the 2010 Census. We are talking about money to educate our children. Without a proper Census count, the District will not have the necessary funds to accommodate all of the children who need the funding.

encouraging So Ι am everyone within the hearing of my voice to take this opportunity to look inward, to see what you can do to reach outward, to help those people in Haiti who desperately need SO assistance, to volunteer to help those people in our communities who desperately need it. It has been very cold. There is a need for housing and clothing and food and shelter.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	And also, to help the citizens of
2	our city and the country in the future by
3	doing your part to give an accurate count via
4	Census, so that the appropriate funding will
5	be available for us.
6	So Happy Martin Luther King's
7	Birthday, you all.
8	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you,
9	Ms. Wade.
10	Mr. Jones from Ward 5.
11	MEMBER JONES: Good evening,
12	everyone. It is quite interesting that Mr.
13	Lockridge was focusing on resources. And that
14	is one of my big concerns of late. It is a
15	funny thing in my lifetime, at least the last
16	20 years of my work life, budget time has
17	always been an angst with me, and it has
18	always made my stomach knot up. And why?
19	Because it affects our lives, and it affects
20	us in a real way.
21	Most recently I had the
22	unfortunate pleasure of viewing some of the

documents that DCPS is formulating for the budgets for our children. There are a number of line items of their suggestions -- and I know they're not finished, but hopefully they're listening -- that brought great concern to me.

And one in particular was on the technology side, where there are several examples of what their recommendations were.

One of the recommendations was less than \$10 basically -- well, they had a number on there.

But then, I'm looking at the different line items, but I noticed that number was a little small.

So after I looked at the number of students we have in school, and I said, "Well, doggone it, that's less than \$10," after I broke it down, per student. Now, if we've got a school of 300 students, and let's assume it is \$10, you're talking about \$3,000 per school. That's ridiculous.

Mr. Lockridge, I have got to tell

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

you, all schools are not created equal. I'm glad Mr. Tucker is still here, because over the past couple of years -- and Mr. Tucker and I don't always agree, but he has taken me on many tours of schools.

And I have gone to some schools where they have flat-screens in He can testify to that. classroom. schools gone some where they to Promethean boards and SMART boards. And then, I have gone to schools where, doggone it, those schools don't even have cable or highspeed internet.

And they have the thought in their mind of \$10 per student? And, moreover, how are they going to distribute that \$10? We've got to be concerned about the distribution of those resources once they're budgeted.

And I suspect there are going to be a lot of children that are going to be left out. We are always talking about bridging the digital divide between our white students and

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

non-white students. Well, we are never going to do it, if we continue down that road.

So I hope they're listening, and I hope they give some serious thought to that.

And in addition to that, there are corporations that have agreements in this city, such as Comcast. And I'm not attacking Comcast or RCN, and soon to be Verizon, who have franchise agreements. And in their franchise agreements, it says that they are going to provide technology to our schools. All schools are going to be wired for cable. All schools are going to be wired for broadband.

Guess what? That's not accurate, because it's not happening in all schools. So all schools are not created equal.

Now, I've had some schools where I have called Comcast, and I want to say this about Comcast, when I have called them and said, "Look, this school is not wired. You have not done what you said," guess what? No

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

problem. Johnny on the spot. So it's not with them. It's with us, it's with this government and the people who are running it, not doing their jobs.

If they have a franchise agreement to wire every school, well, doggone it, it is our responsibility to make sure every school is wired.

Now, I have personally wired some schools. My small little business, I have personally bought laptops and computers for people. I am just one little small company. Everybody has got to do their part.

But when it comes to our resources and budgeting, our budget people must not only just do the numbers -- and those of us who are small business people, we understand what budgets are. We understand what budgets are in the true sense.

I have done budgeting for large corporations, I have worked for departments and government agencies as well in this city,

NEAL R. GROSS

but you know what? Doing budgets for a small business is really important, because, guess what? If I don't have -- generate the resources, and if I -- my budgeting isn't right, guess what? I've got to look in someone's face and tell them they have to go home. That's a sad thing.

We experienced that last year in our D.C. public schools. I hope we don't experience that again. I hope they give serious thought to every line item when they are doing their budgets. I hope they don't give us a fake budget. We know the economy is in a bad way. Our local dollars are generated through only a few sources. Ted, you know this well -- through real estate taxes, income taxes, and sales taxes.

And, of course, we have government federal grants, and then we've got other agencies, like the Lottery, that generate funding. But through those three major sources, we know the economy is in bad shape,

NEAL R. GROSS

1 so we know there is -- we are going to suffer 2 a little. We've got to give up something. 3 think everyone will understand 4 that and work with us, if we are transparent and we have open dialogue, open conversation, 5 6 and say, "This is why we have to do this. You 7 may not get all your money this year, but you'll get it next year." And have a plan. 8 that's my soapbox tonight, 9 But 10 and, I'll tell you, I'm angry, I'm disturbed 11 about that number, so I hope the budget people listening and try to find another way. 12 Ten dollars does not cut it. We will never 13 bridge the digital divide if we've got to 14 15 continue down that road. 16 So, and to my Haitian brothers and sisters, God bless you. And, Mr. Biddle and 17 Ms. Wade, thank you for that outreach. 18 19 And I know I have gone over my 20 time, but I figured Mr. Lockridge took some time earlier, so I've taken some of mine back. 21

NEAL R. GROSS

(Laughter.)

Good night.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you very much, Mr. Jones. And, you know, you were kind of quiet during the questioning, so I didn't mind you going over. And you made some very, very relevant points. So thank you very much.

Ms. Douglas, from Ward 7.

MEMBER DOUGLAS: Yes, sir. I just want to say -- thank the audience for being here tonight, and our heart and soul and our prayers are going out to Haiti to our families.

I just want to say the special development for our kids is really important. It is just like when a baby is born, the mother is so important to be close, to have the child close to them in order for him and her to learn. And the learning process is really important for our children, and the reason that we're here is because we want to get a quality education.

NEAL R. GROSS

So we should make sure that all of these tools and all of these resources are available for all kids from all walks of life and all creed and race and color doesn't matter. Make sure that we make sure that all our kids are getting an equal education and all the things that they need in order to begin our 21st century.

As we sit here and shuffle cards and pretend, as our colleague said here, and withhold information, our kids will never be on the level that they should be. So I am hoping that, from our City Council, from our parents that speaks out, that we need to have — they are trying so hard to have input on what is happening with our kids in learning, in the learning process, that we should be able to provide all of those services more than — not 100 percent, but 125 percent.

So I am hoping that, when you look at -- decide on this decision, and I know we said that are going to look at this next week

NEAL R. GROSS

-- that we make the right decision. We may have to come back, like Mr. Lockridge said, to say, "Well, did we make the right decision?" and find out that the books are not being used properly, and the kids are still not learning.

So we want to give them the best resources and materials there to -- and also the best technology that there is in our nation's capital, so we can make sure that they can achieve those goals and preparing them for college. So this is our main reason why we are here, to serve our students and our children.

So I just want to thank -- we all need to come together. No matter what different disagreements that we have among each other, we are here to serve our kids and to make sure, because we -- you all was in school once, too, and we had to go through the same process.

So share that resource and be mentors and come back and make sure that our

NEAL R. GROSS

kids get that same quality education that you all had and that we have to get here where we are today.

Thank you very much.

VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you, Ms. Douglas.

And let me wrap up by saying I want to thank all of the members in our audience tonight, those members in the viewing public, and my colleagues, and the presenters particularly, for a very, very thoughtful discussion tonight. We sincerely appreciate the expertise that you continuously bring down here, and your thoughts.

Whether you agree with us, with disagree with the disagree us, or presentations and the standards that have been put before you, we do sincerely appreciate your input, because at the end of the day all of that goes into making a better product, and hopefully making a better outcome for our students.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

much

Dr. Briggs, our State Superintendent, has extended herself to allow us to visit a variety of schools with her over the next month or so. And in conversations like this, when we get down to the nittygritty of, what is going on in the classroom, how are we actually educating our students,

school visits so

those

important.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And hope that all my colleagues will take advantage of the opportunity that is before us to go with the State Superintendent, go into some of classrooms. I know many of you do it on your own anyway on a very regular basis, but I would highly recommend that we take and go around with our State Superintendent.

Our final vote on these standards is scheduled, again, for February 17th. And for those of you who would like to provide us with additional information and thoughts prior to that, please feel free to do so.

NEAL R. GROSS

And, finally, I will go off point for just a second. But it is an educational opportunity that I would like to talk about. As a person who worked on Capitol Hill for a little bit when I was a very, very young person, the Congressional Page Program is opening up now for 16 year-olds and they are recruiting a new class of pages for 16 year-olds.

I tried to put a link up on our website. There seems to be some question whether about or not can link we information from another program that is not a District program. But there is a link that, if you are interested in helping your daughter or your son or you yourself, maybe a 16 yearold is out there, you can to go www.housepageprogram.house.gov, and it give you the information and you can apply from that link.

Can I get a motion to adjourn?

Pardon me? Oh, would Mr. Jackson like to make

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	some comments? Our student member?
2	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I would
3	just like to thank everybody for coming out
4	tonight and to express my sympathy for the
5	people in Haiti for their tragedies. So
6	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you
7	very much. With that, I will now entertain a
8	motion to adjourn.
9	MEMBER WADE: So move that we
10	adjourn.
11	MEMBER BIDDLE: Second.
12	VICE-PRESIDENT TRABUE: Thank you.
13	We are adjourned.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
15	matter went off the record at 8:31 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	